

#### On Quantitative Network Efficiency

Walter Chen
Core Network Planning

NANOG69, Feb 6-8 2017, Washington DC





# Why?

For a service provider, or any enterprise with substantial network infrastructure, achieving a high network efficiency is often a noble goal or even an important KPI. But

- What kind of efficiency we're talking about? Is it capacity (capex)? Is it operation (opex)?
   Or is it business (e.g., service agility)? Or is it all of them?
- Are these different goals compatible to each other or in conflict?
- Does it worth the trouble/cost to achieve what?
- What are the roles of technology advancement in different network layers play into which of the above goals?

Do we have these concepts clearly defined and quantified?

Numbers may not tell the whole story, but they tell the truth.

### **Network Efficiency: Classified**

- Capacity Efficiency (*E\(\psi\cap\)*):
  - Measures how the network is designed, built and used: capex, topology, and utilization
  - Affects bottom line, run by Engineering
- Operation Efficiency (*Elops*):
  - Measures how the network is operated and performing: opex (staffing and tools), events
  - Affects bottom line, run by Operations
- Service Efficiency (*E\srv*):
  - Measures how the network is put to use: speed to market, order fulfillment, development costs
  - Affects top line, run by Development

### **Network Efficiency: Defined**

- Capacity, Operation and Service Efficiencies could be in conflict or in competition if pursued disjointedly.
- They need to work together to meet the business goals.
- Network Efficiency can be defined as

$$E \downarrow nwk = (x * E \downarrow cap + y * E \downarrow ops) * E \downarrow srv$$

where  $\{x, y\}$  are weight factors representing business needs and may be a function of time to reflect changing business environment.

Management should set clear objectives and priorities by defining  $\{x, y\}$  which drives resource allocation.

### **Service Efficiency**

#### Definition:

```
E \downarrow srv = (T \downarrow pro - T \downarrow dev) / T \downarrow pro * T \downarrow cst / (T \downarrow cst + T \downarrow ord)
* S \downarrow cap / (S \downarrow cap + S \downarrow dev)
```

where  $T \downarrow pro$  is effective product earning life cycle,  $T \downarrow dev$  is product development time,  $T \downarrow cst$  is customer life cycle,  $T \downarrow ord$  is order fulfillment time,  $S \downarrow dev$  is development cost (lab, staff, etc.), and  $S \downarrow cap$  is capex.

- $E\downarrow srv$  reveals the efficiency and effectiveness of network organizations.
- Indecision, lack of vision, and slow to react to new markets and products all contribute to a lower service efficiency.

#### Opportunity Cost

Lost revenue  $\mathbb{W}$ \$\ldot\rev \approx (1 - E\ldot\srv) \approx T\ldot\rev \rightarrow \ri

-  $E \downarrow srv$  represents the hidden opportunity cost often neglected.

### **Operation Efficiency**

#### Definition:

```
E \downarrow ops = S \downarrow cap / (S \downarrow cap + a * S \downarrow ops + b * S \downarrow pnt )
* (1 - N \downarrow err / N \downarrow m)
```

where  $S \downarrow ops$  is network support opex,  $S \downarrow pnt$  is penalty cost due to network events,  $S \downarrow cap$  is capex,  $N \downarrow err$  is network events due to human error, and  $N \downarrow m$  is all maintenance events.

S↓pnt includes credits to customers, fines, repair cost, etc.

#### Operation Cost:

$$S \downarrow ops = S \downarrow ppl + S \downarrow tool$$

- One may prefer tools than staff, but a highly trained staff can use the tools more effectively and productively.
- A very low  $S \downarrow ops$  may trigger a higher  $S \downarrow pnt$ .
- The modern role of dev ops.

### **Capacity Efficiency**

Definition:

```
E \downarrow cap = U \downarrow nrm = U \downarrow pkf = S \downarrow edge / (S \downarrow core + S \downarrow edge )= C \downarrow wk / (C \downarrow wk + C \downarrow prt) * (1 - N \downarrow cap / N)
```

where  $U \downarrow nrm$  is average network utilization during normal conditions,  $U \downarrow pkf$  is network peak utilization during failure,  $C \downarrow wk$  is working capacity, and  $C \downarrow prt$  is protect capacity,  $S \downarrow edge$  is edge capex,  $S \downarrow core$  is core capex,  $N \downarrow cap$  is network events caused by lack of capacity, and N is all network events.

- Multiple angles to evaluate, though not equivalent.
- Optical, OTN, IP and Control Plane each has its own impact.

# **Capacity Efficiency: General Rules**

- Business goals and SLA contracts define the desired traffic behavior during failure:
  - Classes of traffic that require protection and prioritization
  - Maximum failover timescale
  - QoS policy
  - Latency constraints
  - Single or multi-failure protection
- Network topology optimization considerations
  - Traffic patterns that are function of time
  - Fiber plant and diversity requirements
- Technology selection and capacity deployment cycle

#### **Capacity Efficiency Impact by Layer**

#### Optical: Layer 0

- Wavelength-based, used to be lowest cost but not anymore.
- Slow reaction during failure, no statistical mux, require more idle capacity.
- Not ideal for protection, can perform restoration with certain value.

#### OTN: Layer 1

- Sub-wavelength-based, requires OEO.
- Fast reaction during failure, still no statistical mux, requires idle capacity.
- Suitable for protection in certain cases.

#### Ethernet/IP/MPLS&TE: Layer 2/3/2.5

- Packet-based, requires OEO.
- Fast reaction during failure, highest statistical mux gain.
- Abundant and mature traffic engineering (TE) capabilities, but local.

#### **Need to avoid race conditions!**

#### **Capacity Efficiency: An Ultimate Solution**

# Optimally filled, statistically muxed, frame-switched, true packet-optical transport

- Use buffer to optimally fill each OTN frame;
- Mark each frame with TE bits;
- Switch and mux OTN frames in waves, transport data frames to destination based on TE bits instruction.
- Empty frames are always the first to be dropped.

Treat OTN frames like packets, implement mature Layer 3 TE technologies at Layer 1, make a true packet-optical transport network, not the Packet-over-OTN (POO ≈ POS) as today.

Who will / can bring this technology to market first?

#### **Network Efficiency: Interaction**

- Mathematics vs. Business
- The human factor

#### **Network Efficiency: Control Plane**

- Control plane ?= IP / MPLS / TE / TP + PCE / OF / SDN
  - = Coordinated Local & Global View/Control/Optimization in SW
  - \* Operator warning: A messy control plane = Global chaos
- Automation = Higher efficiency
  - *E\srv*: Technology certification, service creation, concept testing ...
  - **E↓ops**: Traffic engineering, self-healing, ...
  - Elcap: Capacity optimization/creation, dynamic topology update, ...
- Intelligence ?= Higher efficiency
  - *E↓srv*:...
  - *E*↓*ops*:...
  - *E↓cap*:...

Use your imagination and ingenuity to dream, invent, and create.

# **Thank You**

**Questions?** 

