

ARIN

October 6, 2010

IANA Reserved IPv4 Prefix for IPv6 Transition

draft-weil-opsawg-provider-address-space-01

Agenda

- ▶ History Lesson
- ▶ Problem Statement
- ▶ Problem Solution
- ▶ Support



History Lesson

	NCP to IPv4	IPv4 to IPv6
Transition Plan	RFC801 in 1981	RFC5211 in 2008
Transition Date	1983	2011 IANA Exhaust
Mechanism	Flash Cut	?
BROKENNESS INTERVAL	6 MONTHS	?



Problem Statement

- ◆ IPv4 Exhaustion imminent – Q2 2011
- ◆ IPv6-Only Deployment not sufficient for widespread residential deployment
 - ◆ Many home CE devices only support IPv4,
 - ◆ Many applications only support IPv4 (e.g. Skype)
 - ◆ Most content is not IPv6 capable – 95+ percent per draft-arkko-ipv6-only-experience-01
- ◆ Providers must continue supporting IPv4 for multiple years
 - ◆ 6RD, NAT444 require IPv4 space in the provider's translation realm
- ◆ RFC1918 Problems
 - ◆ Large providers are running out
 - ◆ Overlap with enterprise or residential 10/8 problematic



Problem Solution

- ◆ **draft-azinger-additional-private-ipv4-space-issues-04** explores 4 solutions for this space
 - ◆ Redefine existing unicast space as Private
 - ◆ Shared Operator Space
 - ◆ Do Nothing – Provider Dependent Solutions (Squat or Split Networks)
 - ◆ Redefine future use space – 240.0.0.0/4
- ◆ **Recommendation: Use Solution that Sucks the Least**
 - ◆ Request IANA to reserve one /8 space as for Shared Use
- ◆ **Benefits**
 - ◆ Most predictable solution
 - ◆ Best customer experience
 - ◆ Allows operators to focus on IPv6 Internet deployment



Shared Address Space

▶ Shared Address Space Defined

“Shared Transition Space is IPv4 address space reserved for Service Provider or large enterprise use with the purpose of facilitating IPv6 transition and IPv4 coexistence deployment.”

▶ Recommended Usage

- ▶ SHOULD be used between CGN and CPE Router
- ▶ SHOULD NOT be used by Home Networks

▶ Benefits of a single global allocation

- ▶ Flexibility – allows for flexible transition scenarios in all but the largest providers
- ▶ Efficiency – saves addresses usage across all service providers
- ▶ RFC1918 Overlap – removes issues with overlap in the home or edge networks
- ▶ Security – allows for simplified routing and security policy at network edges



Support for This Draft

- ▶ Received support from multiple large service providers representing over 80+ million broadband customers
 - ▶ AT&T
 - ▶ Telsta
 - ▶ NTT
 - ▶ KDDI
 - ▶ Time Warner
 - ▶ Cablevision
 - ▶ Charter
 - ▶ Rogers
- ▶ Contact the authors if you are interested in supporting
- ▶ Time is of essence



Related Work

- ▶ <http://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-shirasaki-nat444-isp-shared-addr-04>
- ▶ [draft-ford-shared-addressing-issues-02](#)
- ▶ [draft-fuller-240space-02](#)
- ▶ <http://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-hain-1918bis-01>
- ▶ [draft-davies-reusable-ipv4-address-block-00](#)

