Increasing the MTU of the Internet

Tom Scholl, AT&T Labs NANOG 42 – Feb 19 2008

A Quick Review of the Acronyms

- MTU = Maximum Transmission Unit
 - The largest size packet or frame possible on a link.
- PMTUD = Path MTU Discovery
 - A host-based discovery mechanism for handling MTU mismatches without requiring packet fragmentation

So what is the MTU of "The Internet"?

- Every media technology has its own MTU
 - Many technologies offer relatively large MTUs
 - HSSI/FDDI, Packet over SONET, ATM, all supported 4470 MTU
 - Ethernet
 - Official IEEE standardized MTU for Ethernet is 1500 bytes
- But Ethernet sets the de facto standard at 1500
 - Ethernet is by far the most common edge technology
 - Thus rendering larger frame support in the middle useless
 - Path MTU Discovery is very easy to break
 - Just ask anyone who has ever tried to run PPPoE or other tunnels over Ethernet without lowering their host MTU/MSS.

Benefits of Bigger Packets

- Simplistic View: Bigger packets = Fewer Packets/sec
 - Fewer routing lookups, fewer copies, fewer interrupts, etc
 - All true, and has linear benefits, but misses the big picture
- Big Picture View
 - 1500 bytes is horrifically inefficient for high speed transfers
 - Hosts perform memory transactions in page sized chunks
 - Typically 4096 or 8192 bytes
 - The ultimate goal is packets carrying page-sized payloads
 - Allows for zero-copy optimization technique called page flipping.
 - Avoids copying the packet from NIC to kernel to user-land to kernel to disk by simply remapping the memory pages.

Did somebody say Jumbo Frame?

- What is a Jumbo Frame?
 - No standard Anything larger than 1500 bytes really.
- The official 1500 byte MTU value for Ethernet has remained unchanged since the original in 1980.
 - IEEE has repeatedly failed to standardize anything larger.
 - However, almost every modern Ethernet port produced in the past few years supports some kind of Jumbo Frame
 - Purely due to customer demand, not part of any a standard.
- Unfortunately, there is no standardization on the frame size above 1500.

Path MTU Discovery

- Fragmenting and reassembling packets is hard
 - Slow path for routers, impacts performance on hosts too
- PMTUD detects lower MTU to avoid fragmentation
 - Host sends packets with Don't Fragment (DF) bit set
 - If path MTU is too small, router sends ICMP NeedFrag
 - Host receives ICMP and lowers packet sizes accordingly
- But PMTUD is remarkably easy to break
 - If the ICMP NeedFrag packet is blocked, PMTUD breaks
 - If any router pairs have mismatched MTUs, ICMP breaks
 - If PMTUD breaks, traffic is blackholed, potentially forever

Why is Path MTU Mismatch so fatal?



Router A cannot transmit ICMP NeedFrag messages back to its Source (Host A) since it does not know that Router B cannot handle the large frame.

Inter-Provider Jumbo Frame Support

- Can be accomplished via Point to Point links
 - Just make sure both sides agree on the MTU
- Significantly harder via Multipoint links (IX VLAN)
 - No mechanism exists to negotiate MTU per IP/next-hop
 - Even though many routers support per-next-hop MTUs
- How can this be fixed?
 - For Ethernet, the proper fix would be via ARP
 - But good luck getting THAT implemented.
 - Alternate hacks include negotiation via BGP.
 - Or just picking a number and hoping everyone supports it.

Inter-Provider Jumbo Frame Support (cont'd)

- Some Internet Exchanges have gone the route of separate VLANs for jumbos (NetNOD)
 - We have IPv4, IPv6, Multicast and VoIP peering VLANs, do we need a jumbo too?

What is a good target MTU value?

- A common pitch is "somewhere around" 9kB
 - Design goal is 8192 data payload + some room for headers
 - Headers like TCP, IPv4 or IPv6, IPSec, PPPoE, L2TP, etc.
 - AKA "don't try to send the largest possible packet every time".
 - And then tunneling through the Internet might actually work!
 - For the most part, this is a good improvement over 1500.
- In the long term, much larger values may make sense
 - IPv4/Ethernet support up to 65535 length packets/frames.
 - IPv6 supports 32 bit values (4 billion bytes) for length.

Implementation Caveats

- Not every vendor talks about MTU in the same way
 - Do they mean 1500 the frame payload?
 - Do they mean 1514 the frame + headers?
 - Do they mean 1518 the frame + headers + FCS?
 - Depends on the vendor and where you're configuring it.
 - Oh and does that include 802.1q overhead or not?
 - Hope you've got your calculator!
- What OS's expect you to include overhead?
 - Juniper JUNOS
 - Cisco IOS-XR
 - Alcatel TimOS

Implementation Caveats (cont'd)

- Not all pieces of equipment can support 9kB:
 - Older Cisco Gear
 - Fast Ethernet Port Adaptors
 - Engine 1 1xGigE, Engine 2 3xGigE Trident
 - Older Juniper PICs
 - M160 4xGigE PICs (4500), 8/12/48xFE PICs (1536)
- Enabling jumbos may be production impacting
 - Re-carving buffers, etc, on some routers, hosts, or NICs.

Implementation Caveats (cont'd)

It's may be unrealistic to expect jumbo support all the way to the home user for some time



Actions Required

- Have the IEEE standardize on a MTU value?
- Need a negotiation method to discover neighbor MTU
- Need a less breakable replacement for PMTUD

Resources

http://darkwing.uoregon.edu/~joe/jumbo-clean-gear.html

Send questions, comments, complaints to:

Tom Scholl, AT&T Labs tom.scholl@att.com