Perfect Storms, Internet Economics, and the Future of the Internet



David Meyer NANOG 41 October 2007

Agenda

- Background and Context
- So what is the "Perfect Storm"?
- Three Pieces of the Puzzle
- A Few Considerations
- Discussion

• I'd been spending a lot of time around things like

- I'd been spending a lot of time around things like
- NGN and IMS

- I'd been spending a lot of time around things like
- NGN and IMS
 - <u>http://www.I-4-5.net/~dmm/talks/NANOG33/ims</u>

- I'd been spending a lot of time around things like
- NGN and IMS
 - <u>http://www.I-4-5.net/~dmm/talks/NANOG33/ims</u>
- And studying complexity

- I'd been spending a lot of time around things like
- NGN and IMS
 - <u>http://www.I-4-5.net/~dmm/talks/NANOG33/ims</u>
- And studying complexity
 - http://www.I-4-5.net/~dmm/talks/NANOG26/ complexity_panel

- I'd been spending a lot of time around things like
- NGN and IMS
 - <u>http://www.I-4-5.net/~dmm/talks/NANOG33/ims</u>
- And studying complexity
 - http://www.I-4-5.net/~dmm/talks/NANOG26/ complexity_panel
 - RFC 3439

So I started wondering where all this is going

- So I started wondering where all this is going
 - And how technologies like IMS (or more generally, NGN) interacted with the Internet Architecture

- So I started wondering where all this is going
 - And how technologies like IMS (or more generally, NGN) interacted with the Internet Architecture
 - And what the implications of the growing number of *policy based networks* really was

- So I started wondering where all this is going
 - And how technologies like IMS (or more generally, NGN) interacted with the Internet Architecture
 - And what the implications of the growing number of *policy based networks* really was
- And in particular, I wondered about the implications of tying (perceived) high margin application revenue directly to the packet transport

- So I started wondering where all this is going
 - And how technologies like IMS (or more generally, NGN) interacted with the Internet Architecture
 - And what the implications of the growing number of *policy based networks* really was
- And in particular, I wondered about the implications of tying (perceived) high margin application revenue directly to the packet transport
 - We'll see why this is an interesting question in a moment

 And as you might imagine (given my background/sensibilities), I was skeptical about what those technologies might mean for the Internet

- And as you might imagine (given my background/sensibilities), I was skeptical about what those technologies might mean for the Internet
- In analyzing the space a bit, I proposed a scenario that became known as "Meyer's Telecommunications Perfect Storm", or TPS

- And as you might imagine (given my background/sensibilities), I was skeptical about what those technologies might mean for the Internet
- In analyzing the space a bit, I proposed a scenario that became known as "Meyer's Telecommunications Perfect Storm", or TPS
 - "You name it, you own it"

- And as you might imagine (given my background/sensibilities), I was skeptical about what those technologies might mean for the Internet
- In analyzing the space a bit, I proposed a scenario that became known as "Meyer's Telecommunications Perfect Storm", or TPS
 - "You name it, you own it"
- The rest of this talk reviews the TPS scenario and its implications

• First, TPS is deeply multi-disciplinary

- First, TPS is deeply multi-disciplinary
 - Involves economics, public policy, and the Internet technology

- First, TPS is deeply multi-disciplinary
 - Involves economics, public policy, and the Internet technology
- TPS is based on the Internet Architecture

- First, TPS is deeply multi-disciplinary
 - Involves economics, public policy, and the Internet technology
- TPS is based on the Internet Architecture
 - In particular, the end-to-end (e2e) principle

- First, TPS is deeply multi-disciplinary
 - Involves economics, public policy, and the Internet technology
- TPS is based on the Internet Architecture
 - In particular, the end-to-end (e2e) principle
 - We can argue (like everyone else) about what the e2e principle actually states...

- First, TPS is deeply multi-disciplinary
 - Involves economics, public policy, and the Internet technology
- TPS is based on the Internet Architecture
 - In particular, the end-to-end (e2e) principle
 - We can argue (like everyone else) about what the e2e principle actually states...
 - <u>http://www.chiappa.net/~jnc/tech/end_end.html</u>

- First, TPS is deeply multi-disciplinary
 - Involves economics, public policy, and the Internet technology
- TPS is based on the Internet Architecture
 - In particular, the end-to-end (e2e) principle
 - We can argue (like everyone else) about what the e2e principle actually states...
 - <u>http://www.chiappa.net/~jnc/tech/end_end.html</u>
- However, the key feature of the e2e principle here is that it implies that

- First, TPS is deeply multi-disciplinary
 - Involves economics, public policy, and the Internet technology
- TPS is based on the Internet Architecture
 - In particular, the end-to-end (e2e) principle
 - We can argue (like everyone else) about what the e2e principle actually states...
 - <u>http://www.chiappa.net/~jnc/tech/end_end.html</u>
- However, the key feature of the e2e principle here is that it implies that
 - IP packet carriage is a commodity business

- First, TPS is deeply multi-disciplinary
 - Involves economics, public policy, and the Internet technology
- TPS is based on the Internet Architecture
 - In particular, the end-to-end (e2e) principle
 - We can argue (like everyone else) about what the e2e principle actually states...
 - <u>http://www.chiappa.net/~jnc/tech/end_end.html</u>
- However, the key feature of the e2e principle here is that it implies that
 - IP packet carriage is a commodity business
 - This conclusion "may" be considered controversial by some

• TPS is based on three basic ideas

- TPS is based on three basic ideas
 - Someone learns how to run a *low margin* yet profitable packet carriage business

- TPS is based on three basic ideas
 - Someone learns how to run a *low margin* yet profitable packet carriage business
 - Access monopolies are weakened or cease to exist

What Exactly is the TPS?

- TPS is based on three basic ideas
 - Someone learns how to run a *low margin* yet profitable packet carriage business
 - Access monopolies are weakened or cease to exist
 - A set of peer-to-peer applications emerge that co-opt the incumbents revenue streams

What Exactly is the TPS?

- TPS is based on three basic ideas
 - Someone learns how to run a *low margin* yet profitable packet carriage business
 - Access monopolies are weakened or cease to exist
 - A set of peer-to-peer applications emerge that co-opt the incumbents revenue streams
- Let's look at each of these in detail...

• First, remember that the hypothesis here is that packet carriage will always be a low margin business as a direct consequence of the e2e principle

- First, remember that the hypothesis here is that packet carriage will always be a low margin business as a direct consequence of the e2e principle
 - Note that many providers are already building "simple" networks in an attempt to lower OPEX (but aside: "CAPEX is back")

- First, remember that the hypothesis here is that packet carriage will always be a low margin business as a direct consequence of the e2e principle
 - Note that many providers are already building "simple" networks in an attempt to lower OPEX (but aside: "CAPEX is back")
 - "deconvergence"

- First, remember that the hypothesis here is that packet carriage will always be a low margin business as a direct consequence of the e2e principle
 - Note that many providers are already building "simple" networks in an attempt to lower OPEX (but aside: "CAPEX is back")
 - "deconvergence"
- In any event, *lower OPEX* is something you'll need if you want to get to a profitable low margin business

• There is also the question as to whether we're optimizing these networks for the "right" thing in the first place...

- There is also the question as to whether we're optimizing these networks for the "right" thing in the first place...
 - 80/20? (Or is it more like 95/5?)

- There is also the question as to whether we're optimizing these networks for the "right" thing in the first place...
 - 80/20? (Or is it more like 95/5?)
- Consider convergence

- There is also the question as to whether we're optimizing these networks for the "right" thing in the first place...
 - 80/20? (Or is it more like 95/5?)
- Consider convergence
 - In the holy grail sense

- There is also the question as to whether we're optimizing these networks for the "right" thing in the first place...
 - 80/20? (Or is it more like 95/5?)
- Consider convergence
 - In the holy grail sense
 - See e.g., <u>http://www.potaroo.net/ispcol/</u> 2006-02/congconverged.html

• Lighting (even inexpensive) fiber is still expensive

- Lighting (even inexpensive) fiber is still expensive
 - OPEX, however, dominated margins

- Lighting (even inexpensive) fiber is still expensive
 - OPEX, however, dominated margins
 - But now CAPEX is back. Why you ask?

- Lighting (even inexpensive) fiber is still expensive
 - OPEX, however, dominated margins
 - But now CAPEX is back. Why you ask?
- And we need to watch out that our economic models are not based on "glut economics" or the availability of "distressed assets"

- Lighting (even inexpensive) fiber is still expensive
 - OPEX, however, dominated margins
 - But now CAPEX is back. Why you ask?
- And we need to watch out that our economic models are not based on "glut economics" or the availability of "distressed assets"
 - But even that is changing (rapidly)

• So what this is really about is...

- So what this is really about is...
 - The convolution of the Internet technology with the economics of IP packet transport

- So what this is really about is...
 - The convolution of the Internet technology with the economics of IP packet transport

- So what this is really about is...
 - The convolution of the Internet technology with the economics of IP packet transport

 And BTW, in case you were wondering, we understand the economics of all of this about as well as we understand complexity

- So what this is really about is...
 - The convolution of the Internet technology with the economics of IP packet transport

- And BTW, in case you were wondering, we understand the economics of all of this about as well as we understand complexity
 - So we need to encourage research in this area

• Commodities tend toward low margins

- Commodities tend toward low margins
 - In particular, in those cases in which the incremental cost of providing a unit of the commodity goes to zero is the point at which it makes sense to price your commodity just below the price set by your competitor(s)

- Commodities tend toward low margins
 - In particular, in those cases in which the incremental cost of providing a unit of the commodity goes to zero is the point at which it makes sense to price your commodity just below the price set by your competitor(s)
 - What is the incremental cost of forwarding a packet in the core of the Internet?

- Commodities tend toward low margins
 - In particular, in those cases in which the incremental cost of providing a unit of the commodity goes to zero is the point at which it makes sense to price your commodity just below the price set by your competitor(s)
 - What is the incremental cost of forwarding a packet in the core of the Internet?
 - In any event, a "race to the bottom" ensues

- Commodities tend toward low margins
 - In particular, in those cases in which the incremental cost of providing a unit of the commodity goes to zero is the point at which it makes sense to price your commodity just below the price set by your competitor(s)
 - What is the incremental cost of forwarding a packet in the core of the Internet?
 - In any event, a "race to the bottom" ensues
- Which is exactly what the SP industry has experienced over the past few years

 A classic commodity also has the property that the incremental cost of providing the good or service approaches its marginal cost of production

- A classic commodity also has the property that the incremental cost of providing the good or service approaches its marginal cost of production
 - So what is the marginal cost of forwarding an IP packet in the core of the Internet?

- A classic commodity also has the property that the incremental cost of providing the good or service approaches its marginal cost of production
 - So what is the marginal cost of forwarding an IP packet in the core of the Internet?
- Well, there are high fixed capital costs (routers, circuits, etc)

- A classic commodity also has the property that the incremental cost of providing the good or service approaches its marginal cost of production
 - So what is the marginal cost of forwarding an IP packet in the core of the Internet?
- Well, there are high fixed capital costs (routers, circuits, etc)
 - and high OPEX

- A classic commodity also has the property that the incremental cost of providing the good or service approaches its marginal cost of production
 - So what is the marginal cost of forwarding an IP packet in the core of the Internet?
- Well, there are high fixed capital costs (routers, circuits, etc)
 - and high OPEX
 - and very small marginal cost

- A classic commodity also has the property that the incremental cost of providing the good or service approaches its marginal cost of production
 - So what is the marginal cost of forwarding an IP packet in the core of the Internet?
- Well, there are high fixed capital costs (routers, circuits, etc)
 - and high OPEX
 - and very small marginal cost
 - i.e., the incremental cost of forwarding a packet

• So what is the marginal cost of forwarding an IP packet in the core of the Internet?

• So what is the marginal cost of forwarding an IP packet in the core of the Internet?

• So what is the marginal cost of forwarding an IP packet in the core of the Internet?

• Answer: Approaching zero

• So what is the marginal cost of forwarding an IP packet in the core of the Internet?

- Answer: Approaching zero
- So what does this say about pricing power that an SP has in the market?

On Economic Realities¹

capital distribution problem

(the ones who need to innovate in the core don't have capital)

INNOVATOR	EPS (\$)	MKT CAP (\$B)
MCIW	-11.22	6.5
SPRNT/NXTL	-0.31	34
VERIO/NTT	1.98	71.6
LEVEL3	-0.74	1.9
SBC/T	1.41	78
QWEST	-0.45	7.7
COGENT	-7.42	0.2
GLBC	-13.84	0.3
SAVVIS	-0.90	0.12
ABOVENET	n/a	n/a
WILTEL	n/a	n/a
TELEGLOBE	-0.74	0.2
C&W	0.70	4.7B
TWTELCOM	-1.12	1.0
(TWARNER)	0.48	82
хо	-2.18	0.4

INNOVATOR	EPS (\$)	MKT CAP (\$B)
CISCO	0.87	108
GOOGLE	3.41	97
AMAZON	1.25	19
YAHOO	1.07	49
EBAY	0.73	51
JUNIPER	0.53	13
APPLE	1.56	47.
INTEL	1.33	141
VERISIGN	0.93	6.15
DELL	1.27	76.3
MICROSOFT	1.12	269B

source: finance.yahoo.com, 25 oct 2005

¹Chart courtesy kc claffy

Summary: Low Margin Yet Profitable Packet Carriage

Summary: Low Margin Yet Profitable Packet Carriage

 The Internet Architecture, and in particular, the end-to-end principle, suggests that packet transport is a low margin, commodity business

Summary: Low Margin Yet Profitable Packet Carriage

- The Internet Architecture, and in particular, the end-to-end principle, suggests that packet transport is a low margin, commodity business
- If you buy this, then one needs to question whether "policy-based" architectures can ever yield the higher margin transport infrastructures they promise

Say, due to the emergence of technologies like WiMAX

- Say, due to the emergence of technologies like WiMAX
 - or just competition in the access

- Say, due to the emergence of technologies like WiMAX
 - or just competition in the access
- Truth in advertising #1:This is controversial (shocking)

- Say, due to the emergence of technologies like WiMAX
 - or just competition in the access
- Truth in advertising #1:This is controversial (shocking)
- Truth in advertising #2:We still don't have a (inexpensive) wireless technology that could deliver 100s (or even 10s) of HDTV channels (in the access)

 Competition makes it much harder (impossible?) to profitably field policy-based access networks

- Competition makes it much harder (impossible?) to profitably field policy-based access networks
- Why? Well, consider the cost (OPEX) of running one of these networks

- Competition makes it much harder (impossible?) to profitably field policy-based access networks
- Why? Well, consider the cost (OPEX) of running one of these networks
 - and given the complexity, its reliability

- Competition makes it much harder (impossible?) to profitably field policy-based access networks
- Why? Well, consider the cost (OPEX) of running one of these networks
 - and given the complexity, its reliability
- And there is no way your SP is going to be able to innovate at the same rate as the *entire Internet*

- Competition makes it much harder (impossible?) to profitably field policy-based access networks
- Why? Well, consider the cost (OPEX) of running one of these networks
 - and given the complexity, its reliability
- And there is no way your SP is going to be able to innovate at the same rate as the *entire Internet*
- Consider the success of AOL or other attempted "walled-garden" providers

- Competition makes it much harder (impossible?) to profitably field policy-based access networks
- Why? Well, consider the cost (OPEX) of running one of these networks
 - and given the complexity, its reliability
- And there is no way your SP is going to be able to innovate at the same rate as the *entire Internet*
- Consider the success of AOL or other attempted "walled-garden" providers
 - But then, what about IMS and the like?

Bottom line

- Bottom line
 - Policy-based networks cost more to build and operate, are less reliable, and are (ironically) less "service rich"

- Bottom line
 - Policy-based networks cost more to build and operate, are less reliable, and are (ironically) less "service rich"
- And who buys that if there is choice?

- Bottom line
 - Policy-based networks cost more to build and operate, are less reliable, and are (ironically) less "service rich"
- And who buys that if there is choice?
- And we can talk about the "bundling argument" if we wind up with time...

• So what this is really about is...

- So what this is really about is...
- The convolution of the Internet technology with public policy

- So what this is really about is...
- The convolution of the Internet technology with public policy
- Related to what is (now) being called Net Neutrality

- So what this is really about is...
- The convolution of the Internet technology with public policy
- Related to what is (now) being called Net Neutrality
 - <u>http://en.wikipedia.org/wikiNetwork_neutrality</u>

Aside: Wall-Gardens What's the Problem?

Aside: Wall-Gardens What's the Problem?

 Innovation on the edges forces walled garden providers to let the new service through

Aside: Wall-Gardens What's the Problem?

- Innovation on the edges forces walled garden providers to let the new service through
 - Their customers demand it (consider ports 80/443)

- Innovation on the edges forces walled garden providers to let the new service through
 - Their customers demand it (consider ports 80/443)
 - This is part of the reason why the existence of competition in the access is a critical component of all of this

- Innovation on the edges forces walled garden providers to let the new service through
 - Their customers demand it (consider ports 80/443)
 - This is part of the reason why the existence of competition in the access is a critical component of all of this
- So now everything can be tunneled over those (now open) ports

- Innovation on the edges forces walled garden providers to let the new service through
 - Their customers demand it (consider ports 80/443)
 - This is part of the reason why the existence of competition in the access is a critical component of all of this
- So now everything can be tunneled over those (now open) ports
 - e.g., skype

- Innovation on the edges forces walled garden providers to let the new service through
 - Their customers demand it (consider ports 80/443)
 - This is part of the reason why the existence of competition in the access is a critical component of all of this
- So now everything can be tunneled over those (now open) ports
 - e.g., skype
 - plus encryption + anonimzation + lots of app developers

 And BTW, you can't really find this stuff with, say, a DPI engine

- And BTW, you can't really find this stuff with, say, a DPI engine
- Why, you ask?

- And BTW, you can't really find this stuff with, say, a DPI engine
- Why, you ask?
 - Well, because while you may be able to find the signature (e.g.) of encrypted voice (today), you basically have to block everything that you can't identify

- And BTW, you can't really find this stuff with, say, a DPI engine
- Why, you ask?
 - Well, because while you may be able to find the signature (e.g.) of encrypted voice (today), you basically have to block everything that you can't identify
- The implication is that most applications that are "overthe-top" must be treated by default logic

- And BTW, you can't really find this stuff with, say, a DPI engine
- Why, you ask?
 - Well, because while you may be able to find the signature (e.g.) of encrypted voice (today), you basically have to block everything that you can't identify
- The implication is that most applications that are "overthe-top" must be treated by default logic
- Conclusion: You can't effectively stop over-the-top services

- And BTW, you can't really find this stuff with, say, a DPI engine
- Why, you ask?
 - Well, because while you may be able to find the signature (e.g.) of encrypted voice (today), you basically have to block everything that you can't identify
- The implication is that most applications that are "overthe-top" must be treated by default logic
- Conclusion: You can't effectively stop over-the-top services
 - If there is competition in the access

- And BTW, you can't really find this stuff with, say, a DPI engine
- Why, you ask?
 - Well, because while you may be able to find the signature (e.g.) of encrypted voice (today), you basically have to block everything that you can't identify
- The implication is that most applications that are "overthe-top" must be treated by default logic
- Conclusion: You can't effectively stop over-the-top services
 - If there is competition in the access
- This is a classic arms race...but we're the arms dealer

• Third piece of this puzzle is that a set of peer-to-peer (p2p) applications emerge that attack the incumbents revenue streams

- Third piece of this puzzle is that a set of peer-to-peer (p2p) applications emerge that attack the incumbents revenue streams
 - "attack" in the capture-the-revenue sense (contrast DDOS)

- Third piece of this puzzle is that a set of peer-to-peer (p2p) applications emerge that attack the incumbents revenue streams
 - "attack" in the capture-the-revenue sense (contrast DDOS)
 - Key: decentralization

- Third piece of this puzzle is that a set of peer-to-peer (p2p) applications emerge that attack the incumbents revenue streams
 - "attack" in the capture-the-revenue sense (contrast DDOS)
 - Key: decentralization
- Starting with voice

- Third piece of this puzzle is that a set of peer-to-peer (p2p) applications emerge that attack the incumbents revenue streams
 - "attack" in the capture-the-revenue sense (contrast DDOS)
 - Key: decentralization
- Starting with voice
 - Large easily attacked revenue stream

- Third piece of this puzzle is that a set of peer-to-peer (p2p) applications emerge that attack the incumbents revenue streams
 - "attack" in the capture-the-revenue sense (contrast DDOS)
 - Key: decentralization
- Starting with voice
 - Large easily attacked revenue stream
 - cf. Vonage (or what's left of it), skype, etc...

- Third piece of this puzzle is that a set of peer-to-peer (p2p) applications emerge that attack the incumbents revenue streams
 - "attack" in the capture-the-revenue sense (contrast DDOS)
 - Key: decentralization
- Starting with voice
 - Large easily attacked revenue stream
 - cf. Vonage (or what's left of it), skype, etc...
 - But also video, FMC, presence, IM, ...

- So this is about a convolution of the Internet technology and its end-to-end nature and the creativity it unleashed, with traditional carrier architectures and business models
- In particular, while traditional carrier networks were vertically integrated (the network was the application), the Internet is horizontally integrated
 - This has the effect of making many of the services the vertically integrated networks provided into applications on the Internet
 - Canonical example: Voice

• So what happens if the IPv6 "everything networked" dream becomes reality, and everything is connected to the network?

- So what happens if the IPv6 "everything networked" dream becomes reality, and everything is connected to the network?
- How much will I be willing to pay to have my teapot online?

- So what happens if the IPv6 "everything networked" dream becomes reality, and everything is connected to the network?
- How much will I be willing to pay to have my teapot online?
 - My guess: Not much

- So what happens if the IPv6 "everything networked" dream becomes reality, and everything is connected to the network?
- How much will I be willing to pay to have my teapot online?
 - My guess: Not much
 - I'll just want someone to provide cheap transport for my 1000s of network connected gadgets

- So what happens if the IPv6 "everything networked" dream becomes reality, and everything is connected to the network?
- How much will I be willing to pay to have my teapot online?
 - My guess: Not much
 - I'll just want someone to provide cheap transport for my 1000s of network connected gadgets
 - And someone will provide that service

- So what happens if the IPv6 "everything networked" dream becomes reality, and everything is connected to the network?
- How much will I be willing to pay to have my teapot online?
 - My guess: Not much
 - I'll just want someone to provide cheap transport for my 1000s of network connected gadgets
 - And someone will provide that service
- So is IPv6 really a perfect storm driver?

- So, what happens if we wind up with...
- Low margin but profitable packet transport
 - Emergence of "new world players"
- No (or weakened) access monopolies
 - Competition and/or new technologies
- Large scale co-opting of traditional service provider revenue streams
 - p2p (or other) applications target revenue
- Is this really an "if"?

 How many of these three basic conditions are already occurring?

 How many of these three basic conditions are already occurring?

How many of these three basic conditions are already occurring?

 And finally, what if we can't find a way to make a commodity internet profitable?

So What's An ISP To Do?

So What's An ISP To Do?

• Stop trying to make the packet network into a circuit network?

So What's An ISP To Do?

- Stop trying to make the packet network into a circuit network?
- But more seriously...

- Stop trying to make the packet network into a circuit network?
- But more seriously...
 - Be realistic about the complexity-opex tradeoffs

- Stop trying to make the packet network into a circuit network?
- But more seriously...
 - Be realistic about the complexity-opex tradeoffs
 - "Be Rational" -- Vijay Gill

- Stop trying to make the packet network into a circuit network?
- But more seriously...
 - Be realistic about the complexity-opex tradeoffs
 - "Be Rational" -- Vijay Gill
 - We need better tools here (we have little or no analytic capability in this space)

- Stop trying to make the packet network into a circuit network?
- But more seriously...
 - Be realistic about the complexity-opex tradeoffs
 - "Be Rational" -- Vijay Gill
 - We need better tools here (we have little or no analytic capability in this space)
 - Keep in mind that the governmental intervention/ regulation is the "trump card" here

- Stop trying to make the packet network into a circuit network?
- But more seriously...
 - Be realistic about the complexity-opex tradeoffs
 - "Be Rational" -- Vijay Gill
 - We need better tools here (we have little or no analytic capability in this space)
 - Keep in mind that the governmental intervention/ regulation is the "trump card" here
 - Work with the vendors and the open source community to build platforms that have the needed properties

• The problem is thorney as vendors want to maintain margins

- The problem is thorney as vendors want to maintain margins
- Even if the perfect storm scenario materializes, vendors will able to preserve advantageous margin structures for some customers

- The problem is thorney as vendors want to maintain margins
- Even if the perfect storm scenario materializes, vendors will able to preserve advantageous margin structures for some customers
- However, if what evolves is the need for low margin interfaces (perhaps riding the "ethernet cost/performance curve"), then vendors may need to hedge against their margin strategies

• Stop trying to make the packet network into a circuit network :-)

- Stop trying to make the packet network into a circuit network :-)
- But more seriously...

- Stop trying to make the packet network into a circuit network :-)
- But more seriously...
 - All of this is just a (the?) scenario in which the e2e Internet that we all know and love continues to grow and thrive

- Stop trying to make the packet network into a circuit network :-)
- But more seriously...
 - All of this is just a (the?) scenario in which the e2e Internet that we all know and love continues to grow and thrive
 - Contrast with the "value-added-transport" position

- Stop trying to make the packet network into a circuit network :-)
- But more seriously...
 - All of this is just a (the?) scenario in which the e2e Internet that we all know and love continues to grow and thrive
 - Contrast with the "value-added-transport" position
 - Noting that everything is Over-the-Top on the Internet

- Stop trying to make the packet network into a circuit network :-)
- But more seriously...
 - All of this is just a (the?) scenario in which the e2e Internet that we all know and love continues to grow and thrive
 - Contrast with the "value-added-transport" position
 - Noting that everything is Over-the-Top on the Internet
- Continued understanding of our evolving needs

- Stop trying to make the packet network into a circuit network :-)
- But more seriously...
 - All of this is just a (the?) scenario in which the e2e Internet that we all know and love continues to grow and thrive
 - Contrast with the "value-added-transport" position
 - Noting that everything is Over-the-Top on the Internet
- Continued understanding of our evolving needs
- And of course, continued smart and innovative engineering on our part

A Few Final Thoughts

- We need to be teaching and informing the community at large
 - Where "community" includes SPs, enterprise operators, content providers, researchers, vendors, ...
- A bad (tm) outcome would be to find ourselves in a situation in which
 - Service Providers can't be profitable enough to continue bandwidth upgrade cycles
 - Service Providers then attempt to choke off innovation for (perceived) self-preservation
 - via legislative/regulatory action, and/or by technical means

Questions/Comments?

Thanks!