

BGP Techniques for Internet Service Providers

Philip Smith <pfs@cisco.com> NANOG 37 4 - 6 June 2006 San Jose, CA

Presentation Slides

- Will be available on
 - ftp://ftp-eng.cisco.com
 - /pfs/seminars/NANOG37-BGP-Techniques.pdf
 - And on the NANOG37 website
- Feel free to ask questions any time

BGP Techniques for Internet Service Providers

- BGP Basics
- Scaling BGP
- Using Communities
- Deploying BGP in an ISP network



BGP Basics

What is this BGP thing?

NANOG 37

Border Gateway Protocol

- Routing Protocol used to exchange routing information between networks
 - exterior gateway protocol
- Described in RFC4271
 - RFC4276 gives an implementation report on BGP-4
 - **RFC4277** describes operational experiences using **BGP-4**
- The Autonomous System is BGP's fundamental operating unit It is used to uniquely identify networks with common routing policy

Autonomous System (AS)



- Collection of networks with same routing policy
- Single routing protocol
- Usually under single ownership, trust and administrative control
- Identified by a unique number

Autonomous System Number (ASN)

- An ASN is a 16 bit number
 - 1-64511 are assigned by the RIRs
 - 64512-65534 are for private use and should never appear on the Internet
 - 0 and 65535 are reserved
- 32 bit ASNs are coming soon

www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-ietf-idr-as4bytes-12.txt

With AS 23456 reserved for the transition

Autonomous System Number (ASN)

- ASNs are distributed by the Regional Internet Registries
- Also available from upstream ISPs who are members of one of the RIRs
 - Current ASN allocations up to 41985 have been made to the RIRs

Of these, around 22500 are visible on the Internet

BGP Basics



Demarcation Zone (DMZ)



BGP General Operation

- Learns multiple paths via internal and external BGP speakers
- Picks the best path and installs in the forwarding table
- Best path is sent to external BGP neighbours
- Policies applied by influencing the best path selection

eBGP & iBGP

- BGP used internally (iBGP) and externally (eBGP)
- iBGP used to carry

some/all Internet prefixes across ISP backbone

- **ISP's customer prefixes**
- eBGP used to

exchange prefixes with other ASes

implement routing policy

BGP/IGP model used in ISP networks

Model representation



External BGP Peering (eBGP)



- Between BGP speakers in different AS
- Should be directly connected
- Never run an IGP between eBGP peers

Internal BGP (iBGP)

- BGP peer within the same AS
- Not required to be directly connected
 IGP takes care of inter-BGP speaker connectivity
- iBGP speakers need to be fully meshed

they originate connected networks

they do not pass on prefixes learned from other iBGP speakers

Internal BGP Peering (iBGP)



Peering to loopback addresses





BGP Attributes

Information about BGP

NANOG 37

AS-Path



AS-Path loop detection



Next Hop



iBGP Next Hop



© 2006 Cisco Systems, Inc. All rights

Third Party Next Hop



- eBGP between Router A and Router C
- eBGP between Router A and Router B
- 120.68.1/24 prefix has next hop address of 150.1.1.3 this is passed on to Router C instead of 150.1.1.2
- More efficient
- No extra config needed

Next Hop (summary)

- IGP should carry route to next hops
- Recursive route look-up
- Unlinks BGP from actual physical topology
- Allows IGP to make intelligent forwarding decision

Origin

- Conveys the origin of the prefix
- "Historical" attribute
- Influences best path selection
- Three values: IGP, EGP, incomplete

IGP – generated by BGP network statement

EGP – generated by EGP

incomplete – redistributed from another routing protocol

Aggregator

- Conveys the IP address of the router/BGP speaker generating the aggregate route
- Useful for debugging purposes
- Does not influence best path selection

Local Preference



Local Preference

- Local to an AS non-transitive
 Default local preference is 100 (IOS)
- Used to influence BGP path selection
 determines best path for *outbound* traffic
- Path with highest local preference wins

Multi-Exit Discriminator (MED)



Multi-Exit Discriminator

- Inter-AS non-transitive & optional attribute
- Used to convey the relative preference of entry points determines best path for *inbound* traffic
- Comparable if paths are from same AS

bgp always-compared-med allows comparisons of MEDs from different ASes

- Path with lowest MED wins
- Absence of MED attribute implies MED value of zero (RFC4271)

Multi-Exit Discriminator "metric confusion"

MED is non-transitive and optional attribute

Some implementations send learned MEDs to iBGP peers by default, others do not

Some implementations send MEDs to eBGP peers by default, others do not

Default metric value varies according to vendor implementation

Original BGP spec made no recommendation

Some implementations said no metric was equivalent to 2^{32} -1 (the highest possible) or 2^{32} -2

Other implementations said no metric was equivalent to 0

Potential for "metric confusion"

Community

Communities are described in RFC1997

Transitive and Optional Attribute

32 bit integer

Represented as two 16 bit integers (RFC1998)

Common format is <*local-ASN*>:xx

0:0 to 0:65535 and 65535:0 to 65535:65535 are reserved

Used to group destinations

Each destination could be member of multiple communities

Very useful in applying policies within and between ASes

Community



Well-Known Communities

Several well known communities

www.iana.org/assignments/bgp-well-known-communities

no-export 65535:65281

do not advertise to any eBGP peers

no-advertise
 <u>65535:65282</u>

do not advertise to any BGP peer

no-export-subconfed
 65535:65283

do not advertise outside local AS (only used with confederations)

• no-peer

65535:65284

do not advertise to bi-lateral peers (RFC3765)

No-Export Community



AS100 announces aggregate and subprefixes

aim is to improve loadsharing by leaking subprefixes

- Subprefixes marked with no-export community
- Router G in AS200 does not announce prefixes with no-export community set

No-Peer Community



 Sub-prefixes marked with no-peer community are not sent to bilateral peers

They are only sent to upstream providers
Community Implementation details

Community is an optional attribute

Some implementations send communities to iBGP peers by default, some do not

Some implementations send communities to eBGP peers by default, some do not

Being careless can lead to community "confusion"

ISPs need consistent community policy within their own networks

And they need to inform peers, upstreams and customers about their community expectations



BGP Path Selection Algorithm

Why Is This the Best Path?

NANOG 37

BGP Path Selection Algorithm for IOS Part One

- Do not consider path if no route to next hop
- Do not consider iBGP path if not synchronised (Cisco IOS)
- Highest weight (local to router)
- Highest local preference (global within AS)
- Prefer locally originated route
- Shortest AS path

BGP Path Selection Algorithm for IOS Part Two

Lowest origin code

IGP < EGP < incomplete

Lowest Multi-Exit Discriminator (MED)

If bgp deterministic-med, order the paths before comparing

If bgp always-compare-med, then compare for all paths

otherwise MED only considered if paths are from the same AS (default)

BGP Path Selection Algorithm for IOS Part Three

- Prefer eBGP path over iBGP path
- Path with lowest IGP metric to next-hop
- Lowest router-id (originator-id for reflected routes)
- Shortest Cluster-List

Client must be aware of Route Reflector attributes!

Lowest neighbour IP address

BGP Path Selection Algorithm

In multi-vendor environments:

- Make sure the path selection processes are understood for each brand of equipment
- Each vendor has slightly different implementations, extra steps, extra features, etc
- Watch out for possible MED confusion



Applying Policy with BGP

Control!

NANOG 37

Applying Policy in BGP: Why?

Policies are applied to:

Influence BGP Path Selection by setting BGP attributes

Determine which prefixes are announced or blocked

Determine which AS-paths are preferred, permitted, or denied

Determine route groupings and their effects

 Decisions are generally based on prefix, AS-path and community

Applying Policy with BGP: Tools

- Most implementations have tools to apply policies to BGP:
 - **Prefix manipulation/filtering**
 - **AS-PATH** manipulation/filtering
 - **Community Attribute setting and matching**
- Implementations also have policy language which can do various match/set constructs on the attributes of chosen BGP routes



Extending BGP

NANOG 37

- Documented in RFC2842
- Capabilities parameters passed in BGP open message
- Unknown or unsupported capabilities will result in NOTIFICATION message
- Codes:

0 to 63 are assigned by IANA by IETF consensus 64 to 127 are assigned by IANA "first come first served" 128 to 255 are vendor specific

Current capabilities are:

0	Reserved	[RFC3392]
1	Multiprotocol Extensions for BGP-4	[RFC2858]
2	Route Refresh Capability for BGP-4	[RFC2918]
3	Cooperative Route Filtering Capability	[ID]
4	Multiple routes to a destination capability	[RFC3107]
64	Graceful Restart Capability	[ID]
65	Support for 4 octet ASNs	[ID]
66	Deprecated 2003-03-06	
67	Support for Dynamic Capability	[ID]
See www.iana.org/accignmonts/canability.codes		

See www.iana.org/assignments/capability-codes

Multiprotocol extensions

This is a whole different world, allowing BGP to support more than IPv4 unicast routes

Examples include: v4 multicast, IPv6, v6 multicast, VPNs

Another tutorial (or many!)

- Route refresh is a well known scaling technique covered shortly
- The other capabilities are still in development or not widely implemented or deployed yet

BGP for Internet Service Providers

- BGP Basics
- Scaling BGP
- Using Communities
- Deploying BGP in an ISP network



BGP Scaling Techniques

BGP Scaling Techniques

• How does a service provider:

Scale the iBGP mesh beyond a few peers?

- Implement new policy without causing flaps and route churning?
- Keep the network stable, scalable, as well as simple?

BGP Scaling Techniques

- Route Refresh
- Route Reflectors
- Confederations



Dynamic Reconfiguration

Route Refresh

NANOG 37

Route Refresh

BGP peer reset required after every policy change

Because the router does not store prefixes which are rejected by policy

• Hard BGP peer reset:

Terminates BGP peering & Consumes CPU

Severely disrupts connectivity for all networks

• Soft BGP peer reset (or Route Refresh):

BGP peering remains active

Impacts only those prefixes affected by policy change

Route Refresh Capability

- Facilitates non-disruptive policy changes
- For most implementations, no configuration is needed

Automatically negotiated at peer establishment

- No additional memory is used
- Requires peering routers to support "route refresh capability" – RFC2918

Dynamic Reconfiguration

Use Route Refresh capability if supported

find out from the BGP neighbour status display Non-disruptive, "Good For the Internet"

- If not supported, see if implementation has a workaround
- Only hard-reset a BGP peering as a last resort

Consider the impact to be equivalent to a router reboot



Route Reflectors

Scaling the iBGP mesh

NANOG 37

Scaling iBGP mesh



Confederation – more complex, has corner case advantages

Route Reflector: Principle



Route Reflector

- Reflector receives path from clients and non-clients
- Selects best path
- If best path is from client, reflect to other clients and non-clients
- If best path is from non-client, reflect to clients only
- Non-meshed clients
- Described in RFC2796



Route Reflector Topology

- Divide the backbone into multiple clusters
- At least one route reflector and few clients per cluster
- Route reflectors are fully meshed
- Clients in a cluster could be fully meshed
- Single IGP to carry next hop and local routes

Route Reflectors: Loop Avoidance

Originator_ID attribute

Carries the RID of the originator of the route in the local AS (created by the RR)

Cluster_list attribute

The local cluster-id is added when the update is sent by the RR

Best to set cluster-id is from router-id (address of loopback)

(Some ISPs use their own cluster-id assignment strategy – but needs to be well documented!)

Route Reflectors: Redundancy

 Multiple RRs can be configured in the same cluster – not advised!

All RRs in the cluster **must** have the same cluster-id (otherwise it is a different cluster)

• A router may be a client of RRs in different clusters

Common today in ISP networks to overlay two clusters – redundancy achieved that way

→ Each client has two RRs = redundancy

Route Reflectors: Redundancy



Route Reflector: Benefits

- Solves iBGP mesh problem
- Packet forwarding is not affected
- Normal BGP speakers co-exist
- Multiple reflectors for redundancy
- Easy migration
- Multiple levels of route reflectors

Route Reflectors: Migration

Where to place the route reflectors?

Always follow the physical topology!

This will guarantee that the packet forwarding won't be affected

Typical ISP network:

PoP has two core routers

Core routers are RR for the PoP

Two overlaid clusters

Route Reflectors: Migration

Typical ISP network:

Core routers have fully meshed iBGP Create further hierarchy if core mesh too big Split backbone into regions

Configure one cluster pair at a time

Eliminate redundant iBGP sessions

Place maximum one RR per cluster

Easy migration, multiple levels

Route Reflector: Migration



Migrate small parts of the network, one part at a time



BGP Confederations

Confederations

Divide the AS into sub-AS

eBGP between sub-AS, but some iBGP information is kept

Preserve NEXT_HOP across the sub-AS (IGP carries this information)

Preserve LOCAL_PREF and MED

- Usually a single IGP
- Described in RFC3065

Confederations (Cont.)

 Visible to outside world as single AS – "Confederation Identifier"

Each sub-AS uses a number from the private AS range (64512-65534)

• iBGP speakers in each sub-AS are fully meshed

The total number of neighbours is reduced by limiting the full mesh requirement to only the peers in the sub-AS

Can also use Route-Reflector within sub-AS
Confederations



Confederations: AS-Sequence



Route Propagation Decisions

• Same as with "normal" BGP:

From peer in same sub-AS \rightarrow only to external peers

From external peers \rightarrow to all neighbors

"External peers" refers to

Peers outside the confederation

Peers in a different sub-AS

Preserve LOCAL_PREF, MED and NEXT_HOP

RRs or Confederations

	Internet Connectivity	Multi-Level Hierarchy	Policy Control	Scalability	Migration Complexity
Confederations	Anywhere in the Network	Yes	Yes	Medium	Medium to High
Route Reflectors	Anywhere in the Network	Yes	Yes	Very High	Very Low

Most new service provider networks now deploy Route Reflectors from Day One

More points about confederations

- Can ease "absorbing" other ISPs into you ISP e.g., if one ISP buys another
 - Or can use AS masquerading feature available in some implementations to do a similar thing
- Can use route-reflectors with confederation sub-AS to reduce the sub-AS iBGP mesh

BGP Scaling Techniques

Route Refresh

Use should be mandatory

Route Reflectors/Confederations

The only way to scale iBGP mesh



Route Flap Damping

Network Stability for the 1990s

Network Instability for the 21st Century!

Route Flap Damping

- For many years, Route Flap Damping was a strongly recommended practice
- Now it is strongly discouraged as it causes far greater network instability than it cures
- But first, the theory...

Route Flap Damping

Route flap

Going up and down of path or change in attribute BGP WITHDRAW followed by UPDATE = 1 flap eBGP neighbour going down/up is NOT a flap Ripples through the entire Internet Wastes CPU

Damping aims to reduce scope of route flap propagation

Route Flap Damping (continued)

Requirements

Fast convergence for normal route changes

History predicts future behaviour

Suppress oscillating routes

Advertise stable routes

Implementation described in RFC 2439

Operation

Add penalty (1000) for each flap

Change in attribute gets penalty of 500

Exponentially decay penalty

half life determines decay rate

Penalty above suppress-limit

do not advertise route to BGP peers

 Penalty decayed below reuse-limit re-advertise route to BGP peers

penalty reset to zero when it is half of reuse-limit

Operation



Operation

- Only applied to inbound announcements from eBGP peers
- Alternate paths still usable
- Controllable by at least:
 - Half-life
 - reuse-limit
 - suppress-limit
 - maximum suppress time

Configuration

 Implementations allow various policy control with flap damping

Fixed damping, same rate applied to all prefixes

Variable damping, different rates applied to different ranges of prefixes and prefix lengths

Route Flap Damping History

- First implementations on the Internet by 1995
- Vendor defaults too severe

RIPE Routing Working Group recommendations in ripe-178, ripe-210, and ripe-229

http://www.ripe.net/ripe/docs

But many ISPs simply switched on the vendors' default values without thinking

Serious Problems:

- "Route Flap Damping Exacerbates Internet Routing Convergence"
 - Zhuoqing Morley Mao, Ramesh Govindan, George Varghese & Randy H. Katz, August 2002
- "What is the sound of one route flapping?"

Tim Griffin, June 2002

- Various work on routing convergence by Craig Labovitz and Abha Ahuja a few years ago
- "Happy Packets"

Closely related work by Randy Bush et al

Problem 1:

• One path flaps:

BGP speakers pick next best path, announce to all peers, flap counter incremented

Those peers see change in best path, flap counter incremented

After a few hops, peers see multiple changes simply caused by a single flap \rightarrow prefix is suppressed

Problem 2:

Different BGP implementations have different transit time for prefixes

Some hold onto prefix for some time before advertising

Others advertise immediately

 Race to the finish line causes appearance of flapping, caused by a simple announcement or path change → prefix is suppressed

Solution:

- Do NOT use Route Flap Damping whatever you do!
- RFD will unnecessarily impair access
 - to your network and
 - to the Internet
- More information contained in RIPE Routing Working Group recommendations:

www.ripe.net/ripe/docs/ripe-378.[pdf,html,txt]

BGP for Internet Service Providers

- BGP Basics
- Scaling BGP
- Using Communities
- Deploying BGP in an ISP network



Service Provider use of Communities

Some examples of how ISPs make life easier for themselves

NANOG 37

BGP Communities

- Another ISP "scaling technique"
- Prefixes are grouped into different "classes" or communities within the ISP network
- Each community means a different thing, has a different result in the ISP network

BGP Communities

 Communities are generally set at the edge of the ISP network

Customer edge: customer prefixes belong to different communities depending on the services they have purchased

Internet edge: transit provider prefixes belong to difference communities, depending on the loadsharing or traffic engineering requirements of the local ISP, or what the demands from its BGP customers might be

Two simple examples follow to explain the concept

- This demonstrates how communities might be used at the customer edge of an ISP network
- ISP has three connections to the Internet:
 - IXP connection, for local peers
 - Private peering with a competing ISP in the region
 - Transit provider, who provides visibility to the entire Internet
- Customers have the option of purchasing combinations of the above connections

Community assignments:

IXP connection:	community 100:2100
Private peer:	community 100:2200

- Customer who buys local connectivity (via IXP) is put in community 100:2100
- Customer who buys peer connectivity is put in community 100:2200
- Customer who wants both IXP and peer connectivity is put in 100:2100 and 100:2200
- Customer who wants "the Internet" has no community set
 We are going to announce his prefix everywhere



- No need to alter filters at the network border when adding a new customer
- New customer simply is added to the appropriate community

Border filters already in place take care of announcements

 \Rightarrow Ease of operation!

Community Example – Internet Edge

- This demonstrates how communities might be used at the peering edge of an ISP network
- ISP has four types of BGP peers:
 - Customer
 - **IXP** peer
 - **Private peer**
 - Transit provider
- The prefixes received from each can be classified using communities
- Customers can opt to receive any or all of the above

Community Example – Internet Edge

Community assignments:

Customer prefix:	community 100:3000
IXP prefix:	community 100:3100
Private peer prefix:	community 100:3200

- BGP customer who buys local connectivity gets 100:3000
- BGP customer who buys local and IXP connectivity receives community 100:3000 and 100:3100
- BGP customer who buys full peer connectivity receives community 100:3000, 100:3100, and 100:3200
- Customer who wants "the Internet" gets everything

Gets default route originated by aggregation router

Or pays money to get all 190k prefixes

Community Example – Internet Edge

- No need to create customised filters when adding customers
 - **Border router already sets communities**
 - Installation engineers pick the appropriate community set when establishing the customer BGP session
 - \Rightarrow Ease of operation!

Community Example – Summary

- Two examples of customer edge and internet edge can be combined to form a simple community solution for ISP prefix policy control
- More experienced operators tend to have more sophisticated options available

Advice is to start with the easy examples given, and then proceed onwards as experience is gained

Some ISP Examples

- ISPs also create communities to give customers bigger routing policy control
- Public policy is usually listed in the IRR

Following examples are all in the IRR

Examples build on the configuration concepts from the introductory example

 Consider creating communities to give policy control to customers

Reduces technical support burden

Reduces the amount of router reconfiguration, and the chance of mistakes

Some ISP Examples: Sprintlink



Not the second s

WHAT YOU CAN CONTROL

AS-PATH PREPENDS

Sprint allows customers to use AS-path prepending to adjust route preference on the network. Such prepending will be received and passed on properly without notifiying Sprint of your change in announcments. ◙ 🔾 🗞 🔾 ≡⊡⊗

Ŧ

Additionally, Sprint will prepend AS1239 to eBGP sessions with certain autonomous systems depending on a received community. Currently, the following ASes are supported: 1668, 209, 2914, 3300, 3356, 3549, 3561, 4635, 701, 7018, 702 and 8220.

String	Resulting AS Path to ASXXX			
65000:XXX	Do not advertise to ASXXX			
65001:XXX	(1239 (default)			
65002:XXX	(1239 1239			
65003:XXX	(1239 1239 1239			
65004:XXX	(1239 1239 1239 1239			
String	Resulting AS Path to ASXXX in Asia			
65070:XXX	Do not advertise to ASXXX			
65071:XXX	1239 (default)			
65072:XXX	1239 1239			
65073:XXX	1239 1239 1239			
65074:XXX	1239 1239 1239 1239			
String F	Resulting AS Path to ASXXX in Europe			
65050:XXX	Do not advertise to ASXXX			
65051:XXX	1239 (default)			
65052:XXX	1239 1239			
65053:XXX	1239 1239 1239			
65054:XXX	1239 1239 1239 1239			
0	Resulting AS Path to ASXXX in North			
String	America			
65010:XXX	Do not advertise to ASXXX			
65011:XXX	1239 (default)			
65012:XXX	1239 1239			
65013:XXX	1239 1239 1239			
65014:XXX	1239 1239 1239 1239			
String Re	esulting AS Path to all supported ASes			
65000:0	Do not advertise			
65001:0	1239 (default)			
65002:0	1239 1239			

4000 4000 4000

0000.0

More info at

www.sprintlink.net/policy/bgp.html

Some ISP Examples AAPT

- Australian ISP
- Run their own Routing Registry
 Whois.connect.com.au
- Offer 6 different communities to customers to aid with their traffic engineering

Some ISP Examples AAPT

aut-num:	AS2764
as-name:	ASN-CONNECT-NET
descr:	AAPT Limited
admin-c:	CNO2-AP
tech-c:	CNO2-AP
remarks:	Community support definitions
remarks:	
remarks:	Community Definition
remarks:	
remarks:	2764:2 Don't announce outside local POP
remarks:	2764:4 Lower local preference by 15
remarks:	2764:5 Lower local preference by 5
remarks:	2764:6 Announce to customers and all peers
	(incl int'l peers), but not transit
remarks:	2764:7 Announce to customers only
remarks:	2764:14 Announce to AANX
notify:	routing@connect.com.au
mnt-by:	CONNECT-AU
changed:	nobody@connect.com.au 20050225
source:	CCAIR

More at http://info.connect.com.au/docs/routing/general/multi-faq.shtml#q13

NANOG 37

Some ISP Examples MCI Europe

- MCI's European operation
- Permits customers to send communities which determine
 - local preferences within MCI's network
 - **Reachability of the prefix**
 - How the prefix is announced outside of MCI's network
Some ISP Examples MCI Europe

aut-num:	AS702						
descr:	MCI EMEA	- Commercial IP service provider in Europe					
remarks:	MCI uses	the following communities with its customers:					
	702:80	Set Local Pref 80 within AS702					
	702:120	Set Local Pref 120 within AS702					
	702:20	Announce only to MCI AS'es and MCI customers					
	702:30	Keep within Europe, don't announce to other MCI AS's					
	702:1	Prepend AS702 once at edges of MCI to Peers					
	702:2	Prepend AS702 twice at edges of MCI to Peers					
	702:3	Prepend AS702 thrice at edges of MCI to Peers					
	Advanced	Advanced communities for customers					
	702:7020	Do not announce to AS702 peers with a scope of					
		National but advertise to Global Peers, European					
		Peers and MCI customers.					
	702:7001	Prepend AS702 once at edges of MCI to AS702					
		peers with a scope of National.					
	702:7002	Prepend AS702 twice at edges of MCI to AS702					
		peers with a scope of National.					
(more)							

Some ISP Examples MCI Europe

(more)								
	702:7003 Prepend AS702 thrice at edges of MCI to AS702							
	peers with a scope of National.							
	702:8020 Do not announce to AS702 peers with a scope of							
	European but advertise to Global Peers, National Peers and MCI customers.							
	702:8001 Prepend AS702 once at edges of MCI to AS702							
	peers with a scope of European.							
	702:8002 Prepend AS702 twice at edges of MCI to AS702							
	peers with a scope of European.							
	702:8003 Prepend AS702 thrice at edges of MCI to AS702							
	peers with a scope of European.							
	Additional details of the MCT communities are leasted at:							
	Additional details of the MCI communities are located at: http://global.mci.com/uk/customer/bgp/							
mnt-by:	WCOM-EMEA-RICE-MNT							
-	: rice@lists.mci.com 20040523							
source:								

Some ISP Examples BT

- Formerly Concert's European network
- One of the most comprehensive community lists around

Seems to be based on definitions originally used in Tiscali's network

whois -h whois.ripe.net AS5400 reveals all

 Extensive community definitions allow sophisticated traffic engineering by customers

Some ISP Examples BT Ignite

aut-num:	AS5400	
descr:	BT Ignite European Backbone	
remarks:		
remarks:	Community to	Community to
remarks:	Not announce To peer:	AS prepend 5400
remarks:		
remarks:	5400:1000 All peers & Transits	5400:2000
remarks:		
remarks:	5400:1500 All Transits	5400:2500
remarks:	5400:1501 Sprint Transit (AS123	9) 5400:2501
remarks:	5400:1502 SAVVIS Transit (AS356	5400:2502
remarks:	5400:1503 Level 3 Transit (AS33	56) 5400:2503
remarks:	5400:1504 AT&T Transit (AS7018)	5400:2504
remarks:	5400:1505 UUnet Transit (AS701)	5400:2505
remarks:		
remarks:	5400:1001 Nexica (AS24592)	5400:2001
remarks:	5400:1002 Fujitsu (AS3324)	5400:2002
remarks:	5400:1004 C&W EU (1273)	5400:2004
<snip></snip>	▲	
notify:	notify@eu.bt.net	And many
mnt-by:		
source:	RIPE	any more!

Some ISP Examples Carrier1

- European ISP
- Another very comprehensive list of community definitions

whois -h whois.ripe.net AS8918 reveals all

Some ISP Examples Carrier1

aut-num:	AS8918				
descr:	Carrier1 Autonomous System				
<snip></snip>					
remarks:	Community Definition				
remarks:	*				
remarks:	8918:2000 Do not announce to C1 customers				
	8918:2010 Do not announce to C1 peers, peers+ and transit				
remarks:	8918:2015 Do not announce to C1 transit providers				
remarks:	*				
remarks:	8918:2020 Do not announce to Teleglobe (AS 6453)				
remarks:	8918:2035 Do not announce to UUNet (AS 702)				
remarks:	8918:2050 Do not announce to T-Systems (AS 3320)				
remarks:	*				
remarks:	8918:2070 Do not announce to AMS-IX peers				
remarks:	8918:2080 Do not announce to NL-IX peers				
<snip></snip>					
notify:	inoc@carrier1.net				
mnt-by:	CARRIER1-MNT				
source:	RIPE				
	And many				
	many more!				

Some ISP Examples Level 3

- Highly detailed AS object held on the RIPE Routing Registry
- Also a very comprehensive list of community definitions

whois -h whois.ripe.net AS3356 reveals all

Some ISP Examples Level 3

aut-num:	AS3356
descr:	Level 3 Communications
<snip></snip>	
remarks:	
remarks:	customer traffic engineering communities - Suppression
remarks:	
remarks:	64960:XXX - announce to AS XXX if 65000:0
remarks:	65000:0 - announce to customers but not to peers
remarks:	65000:XXX - do not announce at peerings to AS XXX
remarks:	
remarks:	customer traffic engineering communities - Prepending
remarks:	
remarks:	65001:0 - prepend once to all peers
remarks:	65001:XXX - prepend once at peerings to AS XXX
<snip></snip>	
remarks:	3356:70 - set local preference to 70
remarks:	3356:80 - set local preference to 80
remarks:	3356:90 - set local preference to 90
remarks:	3356:9999 - blackhole (discard) traffic
<snip></snip>	
mnt-by:	LEVEL3-MNT
source:	RIPE And many
	many more!

BGP for Internet Service Providers

- BGP Basics
- Scaling BGP
- Using Communities
- Deploying BGP in an ISP network



Deploying BGP in an ISP Network

Okay, so we've learned all about BGP now; how do we use it on our network??

Deploying BGP

- The role of IGPs and iBGP
- Aggregation
- Receiving Prefixes
- Configuration Tips



The role of IGP and iBGP

Ships in the night? Or Good foundations?

NANOG 37

BGP versus OSPF/ISIS

Internal Routing Protocols (IGPs)

examples are ISIS and OSPF

used for carrying infrastructure addresses

NOT used for carrying Internet prefixes or customer prefixes

design goal is to minimise number of prefixes in IGP to aid scalability and rapid convergence

BGP versus OSPF/ISIS

- BGP used internally (iBGP) and externally (eBGP)
- iBGP used to carry

some/all Internet prefixes across backbone

- customer prefixes
- eBGP used to

exchange prefixes with other ASes

implement routing policy

BGP/IGP model used in ISP networks

Model representation



BGP versus OSPF/ISIS

• DO NOT:

distribute BGP prefixes into an IGP

distribute IGP routes into BGP

use an IGP to carry customer prefixes

YOUR NETWORK WILL NOT SCALE

Injecting prefixes into iBGP

- Use iBGP to carry customer prefixes don't ever use IGP
- Point static route to customer interface
- Enter network into BGP process
 - Ensure that implementation options are used so that the prefix always remains in iBGP, regardless of state of interface
 - i.e. avoid iBGP flaps caused by interface flaps



Aggregation

Quality or Quantity?

NANOG 37

Aggregation

- Aggregation means announcing the address block received from the RIR to the other ASes connected to your network
- Subprefixes of this aggregate may be:

Used internally in the ISP network

Announced to other ASes to aid with multihoming

 Unfortunately too many people are still thinking about class Cs, resulting in a proliferation of /24s in the Internet routing table

Aggregation

- Address block should be announced to the Internet as an aggregate
- Subprefixes of address block should NOT be announced to Internet unless special circumstances (more later)
- Aggregate should be generated internally

Not on the network borders!

Announcing an Aggregate

- ISPs who don't and won't aggregate are held in poor regard by community
- Registries publish their minimum allocation size Anything from a /20 to a /22 depending on RIR
- No real reason to see anything longer than a /22 prefix in the Internet

BUT there are currently >102000 /24s!

Aggregation – Example



- Customer has /23 network assigned from AS100's /19 address block
- AS100 announced /19 aggregate to the Internet

Aggregation – Good Example

- Customer link goes down
 - their /23 network becomes unreachable
 - /23 is withdrawn from AS100's iBGP
- /19 aggregate is still being announced
 - no BGP hold down problems
 - no BGP propagation delays
 - no damping by other ISPs

- Customer link returns
 - Their /23 network is visible again
 - The /23 is re-injected into AS100's iBGP
 - The whole Internet becomes visible immediately
 - Customer has Quality of Service perception

Aggregation – Example



- Customer has /23 network assigned from AS100's /19 address block
- AS100 announces customers' individual networks to the Internet

Aggregation – Bad Example

Customer link goes down

- Their /23 network becomes unreachable
- /23 is withdrawn from AS100's iBGP
- Their ISP doesn't aggregate its /19 network block
 - /23 network withdrawal announced to peers
 - starts rippling through the Internet
 - added load on all Internet backbone routers as network is removed from routing table

Customer link returns

Their /23 network is now visible to their ISP

Their /23 network is re-advertised to peers

Starts rippling through Internet

Load on Internet backbone routers as network is reinserted into routing table

Some ISP's suppress the flaps

Internet may take 10-20 min or longer to be visible

Where is the Quality of Service???

Aggregation – Summary

Good example is what everyone should do!

Adds to Internet stability

Reduces size of routing table

Reduces routing churn

Improves Internet QoS for everyone

Bad example is what too many still do!

Why? Lack of knowledge?

Laziness?

The Internet Today (February 2006)

Current Internet Routing Table Statistics

BGP Routing Table Entries	188735
Prefixes after maximum aggregation	104219
Unique prefixes in Internet	92483
Prefixes smaller than registry alloc	93344
/24s announced	102293
only 5766 /24s are from 192.0.0.0/8	
ASes in use	22258

"The New Swamp"

Swamp space is name used for areas of poor aggregation

The original swamp was 192.0.0.0/8 from the former class C block

Name given just after the deployment of CIDR

The new swamp is creeping across all parts of the Internet

Not just RIR space, but "legacy" space too

"The New Swamp" RIR Space – February 1999

RIR blocks contribute 49393 prefixes or 88% of the Internet Routing Table

Block	Networks	Block	Networks	Block	Networks	Block	Networks
24/8	165	74/8	0	124/8	0	205/8	2584
41/8	0	75/8	0	125/8	0	206/8	3127
58/8	0	76/8	0	126/8	0	207/8	2723
59/8	0	80/8	0	188/8	0	208/8	2817
60/8	0	81/8	0	189/8	0	209/8	2574
61/8	3	82/8	0	190/8	0	210/8	617
62/8	87	83/8	0	192/8	6275	211/8	0
63/8	20	84/8	0	193/8	2390	212/8	717
64/8	0	85/8	0	194/8	2932	213/8	1
65/8	0	86/8	0	195/8	1338	216/8	943
66/8	0	87/8	0	196/8	513	217/8	0
67/8	0	88/8	0	198/8	4034	218/8	0
68/8	0	89/8	0	199/8	3495	219/8	0
69/8	0	90/8	0	200/8	1348	220/8	0
70/8	0	91/8	0	201/8	0	221/8	0
71/8	0	121/8	0	202/8	2276	222/8	0
72/8	0	122/8	0	203/8	3622		
73/8	0	123/8	0	204/8	3792		

"The New Swamp" RIR Space – February 2006

RIR blocks contribute 161287 prefixes or 88% of the Internet Routing Table

Block	Networks	Block	Networks	Block	Networks	Block	Networks
24/8	3001	74/8	109	124/8	292	205/8	2934
41/8	41	75/8	2	125/8	682	206/8	3879
58/8	606	76/8	4	126/8	27	207/8	4385
59/8	628	80/8	1925	188/8	1	208/8	3239
60/8	468	81/8	1350	189/8	0	209/8	5611
61/8	2396	82/8	1158	190/8	39	210/8	3908
62/8	1860	83/8	1130	192/8	6927	211/8	2291
63/8	2837	84/8	971	193/8	5203	212/8	2920
64/8	5374	85/8	1426	194/8	4061	213/8	3071
65/8	3785	86/8	650	195/8	3519	216/8	6893
66/8	6292	87/8	629	196/8	1264	217/8	2590
67/8	1832	88/8	328	198/8	4908	218/8	1220
68/8	3069	89/8	113	199/8	4156	219/8	1003
69/8	3315	90/8	2	200/8	6757	220/8	1657
70/8	1597	91/8	2	201/8	1614	221/8	765
71/8	888	121/8	0	202/8	9759	222/8	914
72/8	1772	122/8	0	203/8	9527		
73/8	274	123/8	0	204/8	5474		

"The New Swamp" Summary

- RIR space shows creeping deaggregation
 - It seems that an RIR /8 block averages around 4000 prefixes once fully allocated
 - So their existing 70 /8s will eventually cause 280000 prefix announcements
- Food for thought:
 - Remaining 62 unallocated /8s and the 70 RIR /8s combined will cause:
 - 528000 prefixes with 4000 prefixes per /8 density
 - 792000 prefixes with 6000 prefixes per /8 density
 - Plus 12% due to "non RIR space deaggregation"
 - → Routing Table size of 887040 prefixes

"The New Swamp" Summary

- Rest of address space is showing similar deaggregation too
- What are the reasons?
 - Main justification is traffic engineering
- Real reasons are:
 - Lack of knowledge
 - Laziness
 - **Deliberate & knowing actions**

BGP Report (bgp.potaroo.net)

- 179170 total announcements
- 116237 prefixes

After aggregating including full AS PATH info

i.e. including each ASN's traffic engineering

35% saving possible

101167 prefixes

After aggregating by Origin AS

i.e. ignoring each ASN's traffic engineering

8% saving possible

The excuses

- Traffic engineering causes 8% of the Internet Routing table
- Deliberate deaggregation causes 35% of the Internet Routing table

Efforts to improve aggregation

• The CIDR Report

Initiated and operated for many years by Tony Bates Now combined with Geoff Huston's routing analysis

www.cidr-report.org

Results e-mailed on a weekly basis to most operations lists around the world

Lists the top 30 service providers who could do better at aggregating

Efforts to improve aggregation The CIDR Report

- Also computes the size of the routing table assuming ISPs performed optimal aggregation
- Website allows searches and computations of aggregation to be made on a per AS basis

Flexible and powerful tool to aid ISPs

Intended to show how greater efficiency in terms of BGP table size can be obtained without loss of routing and policy information

Shows what forms of origin AS aggregation could be performed and the potential benefit of such actions to the total table size

Very effectively challenges the traffic engineering excuse
Status Summary

Table History

Date	Prefixes	CIDR Aggregated
02-05-05	5 157356	108023
03-05-05	5 157392	108044
04-05-05	5 157505	108133
05-05-05	5 157530	108201
06-05-05	5 157716	108341
07-05-05	5 157747	108272
08-05-05	5 157845	108355
09-05-05	5 157874	108388



Plot: BGP Table Size

AS Summary

- 19498 Number of ASes in routing system
- 7996 Number of ASes announcing only one prefix
- 1467 Largest number of prefixes announced by an AS AS7018: ATT-INTERNET4 - AT&T WorldNet Services
- 90497280 Largest address span announced by an AS (/32s) AS721: DLA-ASNBLOCK-AS - DoD Network Information Center



o 🔾 🍪 🔘 💿

Plot: AS count

Plot: Average announcements per origin AS

Report: ASes ordered by originating address span

Report: ASes ordered by transit address span

Report: Autonomous System number-to-name mapping (from Registry WHOIS data)

Aggregation Summary



Aggregation Summary

The algorithm used in this report proposes aggregation only when there is a precise match using AS path so as to preserve traffic transit policies. Aggregation is also proposed across non-advertised address space ('holes').

09Ma ASnum	•	NetsAgar	NetGain	∿n Gain	Description
					·
Table	157925	108381	49544	31.4%	All ASes
AS4323	1098	223	875	79.7%	TWTC - Time Warner Telecom
AS18566	805	8	797	99.0%	COVAD – Covad Communications
AS4134	893	220	673	75.4%	CHINANET-BACKBONE No.31, Jin-rong Street
AS721	1117	564	553		DLA-ASNBLOCK-AS - DoD Network Information Center
AS7018	1467	939	528	36.0%	ATT-INTERNET4 - AT&T WorldNet Services
AS27364	539	22	517	95.9%	ACS-INTERNET - Armstrong Cable Services
AS22773	483	23	460	95.2%	CCINET-2 - Cox Communications Inc.
AS6197	900	506	394	43.8%	BATI-ATL - BellSouth Network Solutions, Inc
AS3602	509	146	363	71.3%	SPRINT-CA-AS - Sprint Canada Inc.
AS17676	431	78	353	81.9%	JPNIC-JP-ASN-BLOCK Japan Network Information Center
AS9929	350	46	304	86.9%	CNCNET-CN China Netcom Corp.
AS4766	574	279	295	51.4%	KIXS-AS-KR Korea Telecom
AS6478	416	123	293	70.4%	ATT-INTERNET3 - AT&T WorldNet Services
AS6140	399	135	264	66.2%	IMPSAT-USA - ImpSat
AS14654	264	6	258	97.7%	WAYPORT - Wayport
AS9583	735	483	252	34.3%	SIFY-AS-IN Sify Limited
AS9443	374	123	251	67.1%	INTERNETPRIMUS-AS-AP Primus Telecommunications
AS7545	493	247	246	49.9%	TPG-INTERNET-AP TPG Internet Pty Ltd
AS1239	886	644	242	27.3%	SPRINTLINK - Sprint
AS15270	272	37	235	86.4%	AS-PAETEC-NET - PaeTec.net -a division of PaeTecCommunications, Inc.
AS23126	254	23	231	90.9%	KMCTELCOM-DIA - KMC Telecom, Inc.
AS4755	516	287	229	44.4%	VSNL-AS Videsh Sanchar Nigam Ltd. Autonomous System
AS7725	415	186	229	55.2%	CCH-AS7 - Comcast Cable Communications Holdings, Inc
AS6198	464	236	228	49.1%	BATI-MIA - BellSouth Network Solutions, Inc
AS5668	488	264	224	45.9%	AS-5668 - CenturyTel Internet Holdings, Inc.
AS2386	853	634	219	25.7%	INS-AS - AT&T Data Communications Services
AS9498	296	79	217	73.3%	BBIL-AP BHARTI BT INTERNET LTD.
AS11456	319	110	209	65.5%	NUVOX - NuVox Communications, Inc.
AS6167	264	67	197	74.6%	CELLCO-PART – Cellco Partnership
AS6517	319	128	191	59.9%	YIPESCOM - Yipes Communications, Inc.
Total	17193	6866	10327	AN 12	Top 30 total

◙ ۞ � ○ = = ≥



Top 20 Added Routes this week per Originating AS

Prefixes ASnum AS Description

😪 🕞 🥝

- 154 AS7725 CCH-AS7 Comcast Cable Communications Holdings, Inc
- 108 AS4755 VSNL-AS Videsh Sanchar Nigam Ltd. Autonomous System
- 52 AS35911 BNQ-1 Telebec
- 36 AS13645 BROADBANDONE BroadbandONE, Inc.
- 19 AS17488 HATHWAY-NET-AP Hathway IP Over Cable Internet
- 16 AS9576 SOOKMYUNG-AS SOOKMYUNG WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY
- 15 AS174 COGENT Cogent/PSI
- 15 AS18633 GIANTWEB Giant Technologies Inc.
- 16 AS18042 KBT Koos Broadband Telecom
- 16 AS32613 IWEB-AS Groupe iWeb Technologies inc.
- 15 AS19632 Metropolis Intercom
- 15 AS30340 AS-LLIX Liberty Lake Internet Portal
- 13 AS19916 ASTRUM-0001 OLM LLC
- 13 AS22047 VTR BANDA ANCHA S.A.
- 13 AS21882 PRIORITYNETWORKS Priority Networks Inc.
- 12 AS9940 WOLCST-AS-AP World online AS, Cybersoft Technologies.
- 12 AS12715 JAZZNET Jazz Telecom S.A.
- 12 AS22927 Telefonica de Argentina
- 11 AS30533 CONNEXION-BY-BOEING-LTN Connexion by Boeing
- 11 AS25454 TELEMEDIAAS Telemedia SA Autonomous System

Top 20 Withdrawn Routes this week per Originating AS

Prefixes ASnum AS Description

- -45 AS10970 LH Lighthouse Communications, Inc.
- -33 AS7496 WEBCENTRAL-AS WebCentral
- -31 AS8921 I-CONNEXION ICX Autonomous System
- -23 AS4513 Globix Corporation
- -20 AS1239 SPRINTLINK Sprint
- -18 AS14103 ACDNET-ASN1 ACD.net
- -17 AS29257 CBB-IE-AS Connexion by Boeing Ireland, Ltd.
- -16 AS20115 CHARTER-NET-HKY-NC Charter Communications
- -16 AS6167 CELLCO-PART Cellco Partnership
- -15 AS17557 PKTELECOM-AS-AP Pakistan Telecom
- -14 AS9152 MEGADAT Autonomous System
- -14 AS16154 TELECOMS-AS Telecoms-Net Ltd.
- -14 AS24219 NFI-AS-AP No Fuss Internet
- -13 AS174 COGENT Cogent/PSI
- -13 AS10125 DACCESS-AP DATA ACCESS INDIA LIMITED
- -13 AS30857 TAURUS-AS Taurus Telecom PJSC
- -12 AS17854 CABLELINE-AS-KR BANDOCABLELINE
- -12 AS7049 S&M International S.A.
- -12 AS4323 TWTC Time Warner Telecom
- -12 AS3561 SAVVIS Savvis

Adds and Wdls per Prefix Length

◙ 🔘 🍪 🔘 ■🖻 😣



Report: Announced Route count per Originating AS Report: Withdrawn Route count per Originating AS

More Specifics

A list of route advertisements that appear to be more specific than the original Class-based prefix mask, or more specific than the registry allocation size.

Top 20 ASes advertising more specific prefixes

More Specifics	Total Prefixes	ASnum	AS Description
1103	1467	AS7018	ATT-INTERNET4 - AT&T WorldNet Services
1012	1180	AS174	COGENT Cogent/PSI
974	1098	AS4323	TWTC - Time Warner Telecom
880	900	AS6197	BATI-ATL - BellSouth Network Solutions, Inc
801	1117	AS721	DLA-ASNBLOCK-AS - DoD Network Information Center
798	805	AS18566	COVAD - Covad Communications
780	853	AS2386	INS-AS - AT&T Data Communications Services
742	893	AS4134	CHINANET-BACKBONE No.31, Jin-rong Street
730	735	AS9583	SIFY-AS-IN Sify Limited
621	886	AS1239	SPRINTLINK - Sprint
594	994	AS701	ALTERNET-AS - UUNET Technologies, Inc.
583	595	AS20115	CHARTER-NET-HKY-NC - Charter Communications
540	574	AS4766	KIXS-AS-KR Korea Telecom
533	539		ACS-INTERNET - Armstrong Cable Services
500	516	AS4755	VSNL-AS Videsh Sanchar Nigam Ltd. Autonomous System
475		AS5668	AS-5668 - CenturyTel Internet Holdings, Inc.
470	483	AS22773	CCINET-2 - Cox Communications Inc.
456	493	AS7545	TPG-INTERNET-AP TPG Internet Pty Ltd
453	509	AS3602	1
452	464	AS6198	BATI-MIA - BellSouth Network Solutions, Inc
		d by numb c prefix list	er of more specific prefixes : (by AS)

Report: More Specific prefix list (ordered by prefix)

Possible Bogus Routes and AS Announcements

◙ 🔾 🗞 🔾 ₌ 🛛 😣



Ktp://www.cidr-report.org/cgi-bin/as-report?as=A51239&view=4637



*

Rank	AS	Type Originate A	ddr Space (pfx)	Transit Addr space	(pfx)	Description
24	AS1239	ORG+TRN Originate:	11982080 /8.49	Transit: 145498112	/4.88	SPRINTLINK - Sprint

Aggregation Suggestions

This report does not take into account conditions local to each origin AS in terms of policy or traffic engineering requirements, so this is an approximate guideline as to aggregation possibilities.

Rank AS	AS Name	Current	Wthdw	Aggte	Annce R	edctn	÷
20 AS1239	SPRINTLINK - Sprint	886	307	65	644	242	27.31%

AS 1239: SPRINTLINK	- Sprint	
Prefix (AS Path)		Aggregation Action
12.9.182.0/23	4637 1239	
12.22.206.0/24	4637 1239	
24.56.144.0/21	4637 1239	
24.137.128.0/21	4637 1239	
24.221.0.0/17	4637 1239	+ Announce - aggregate of 24.221.0.0/18 (4637 1239) and 24.221.64.0/18 (4637 1239)
24.221.0.0/18	4637 1239	- Withdrawn - aggregated with 24.221.64.0/18 (4637 1239)
24.221.64.0/19	4637 1239	- Withdrawn - aggregated with 24.221.96.0/19 (4637 1239)
24.221.96.0/19	4637 1239	- Withdrawn - aggregated with 24.221.64.0/19 (4637 1239)
24.221.128.0/18	4637 1239	+ Announce - aggregate of 24.221.128.0/19 (4637 1239) and 24.221.160.0/19 (4637 1239)
24.221.128.0/19	4637 1239	- Withdrawn - aggregated with 24.221.160.0/19 (4637 1239)
24.221.160.0/19	4637 1239	- Withdrawn - aggregated with 24.221.128.0/19 (4637 1239)
24.221.192.0/20	4637 1239	
24.221.220.0/22	4637 1239	
24.221.224.0/20	4637 1239	+ Announce - aggregate of 24.221.224.0/21 (4637 1239) and 24.221.232.0/21 (4637 1239)
24.221.224.0/21	4637 1239	- Withdrawn - aggregated with 24.221.232.0/21 (4637 1239)
24.221.232.0/22	4637 1239	- Withdrawn - aggregated with 24.221.236.0/22 (4637 1239)
24.221.236.0/22	4637 1239	- Withdrawn - aggregated with 24.221.232.0/22 (4637 1239)
24.221.242.0/23	4637 1239	
24.221.244.0/22	4637 1239	
24.221.248.0/21	4637 1239	
38.113.4.0/24	4637 1239	
63.90.4.0/24	4637 1239	
63.113.210.0/24	4637 1239	
63.122.77.0/24	4637 1239	
63.122.78.0/23	4637 1239	
63.134.0.0/17	4637 1239	
63.160.0.0/12	4637 1239	
63.178.251.0/24	4637 1239	
63.237.89.0/24	4637 1239	
64.6.224.0/19	4637 1239	
64.9.45.0/24	4637 1239	
64.9.86.0/24	4637 1239	
64.17.64.0/22	4637 1239	

Co Co Co Co Attp://www.cidr-report.org/cgi-bin/as-report?as=A5701&view=4637

-		
Rank AS AS Na		Current Wthdw Aggte Annce Redctn % Technologies, Inc. 994 208 68 854 140 14.08%
49 <u>AS701</u> ALTER	MEI-AS - CONEI	Technologies, Inc. 994 208 68 854 140 14.08%
AS 701: ALTERNET-A	S - UUNET Tech	nologies, Inc.
Prefix (AS Path)		Aggregation Action
17.255.232.0/24	4637 701	
24.32.66.0/24	4637 701	
24.32.68.0/22	4637 701	+ Announce - aggregate of 24.32.68.0/23 (4637 701) and 24.32.70.0/23 (4637 701)
24.32.68.0/24	4637 701	- Withdrawn - aggregated with 24.32.69.0/24 (4637 701)
24.32.69.0/24	4637 701	- Withdrawn - aggregated with 24.32.68.0/24 (4637 701)
24.32.70.0/24	4637 701	- Withdrawn - aggregated with 24.32.71.0/24 (4637 701)
24.32.71.0/24	4637 701	- Withdrawn - aggregated with 24.32.70.0/24 (4637 701)
24.32.130.0/24	4637 701	
24.32.144.0/22	4637 701	+ Announce - aggregate of 24.32.144.0/23 (4637 701) and 24.32.146.0/23 (4637 701)
24.32.144.0/23	4637 701	- Withdrawn - aggregated with 24.32.146.0/23 (4637 701)
24.32.146.0/23	4637 701	- Withdrawn - aggregated with 24.32.144.0/23 (4637 701)
24.32.163.0/24	4637 701	
24.32.164.0/24	4637 701	
24.206.172.0/24	4637 701 4637 701	
24.216.0.0/16 24.216.82.0/24	4637 701	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 24.216.0.0/16 4637 701
24.216.94.0/23	4637 701	- Withdrawn - matching aggregate 24.216.0.0/16 4637 701 - Withdrawn - matching aggregate 24.216.0.0/16 4637 701
24.216.174.0/24	4637 701	Withdidwh - Matching aggregate 24.210.0.0/10 4037 /01
24.240.0.0/15	4637 701	
55.191.7.0/24	4637 701	
62.70.23.0/24	4637 701	
63.0.0/9	4637 701	+ Announce - aggregate of 63.0.0.0/10 (4637 701) and 63.64.0.0/10 (4637 701)
63.0.0/12	4637 701	- Withdrawn - aggregated with 63.16.0.0/12 (4637 701)
63.16.0.0/12	4637 701	- Withdrawn - aggregated with 63.0.0.0/12 (4637 701)
63.32.0.0/12	4637 701	- Withdrawn - aggregated with 63.48.0.0/12 (4637 701)
63.48.0.0/12	4637 701	- Withdrawn - aggregated with 63.32.0.0/12 (4637 701)
63.64.0.0/12	4637 701	- Withdrawn - aggregated with 63.80.0.0/12 (4637 701)
63.80.0.0/12	4637 701	- Withdrawn - aggregated with 63.64.0.0/12 (4637 701)
63.96.0.0/12	4637 701	- Withdrawn - aggregated with 63.112.0.0/12 (4637 701)
63.112.0.0/12	4637 701	- Withdrawn - aggregated with 63.96.0.0/12 (4637 701)
63.134.153.0/24	4637 701	
63.134.154.0/24	4637 701	
63.134.161.0/24	4637 701	
63.134.162.0/23	4637 701	+ Announce - aggregate of 63.134.162.0/24 (4637 701) and 63.134.163.0/24 (4637 701)
63.134.162.0/24	4637 701	- Withdrawn - aggregated with 63.134.163.0/24 (4637 701)
63.134.163.0/24	4637 701	- Withdrawn - aggregated with 63.134.162.0/24 (4637 701)
63.134.164.0/24	4637 701	
63.134.168.0/23	4637 701	
63.134.176.0/24	4637 701	
63.134.179.0/24	4637 701 4637 701	
63.141.42.0/24	4037 /01	

◎ 🔾 🍕 🔾 💷 ⊗

Aggregation Potential (source: bgp.potaroo.net/as4637/)



Aggregation Summary

- Aggregation on the Internet could be MUCH better
 - 35% saving on Internet routing table size is quite feasible
 - Tools are available
 - Commands on the routers are not hard
 - **CIDR-Report webpage**



Receiving Prefixes

Receiving Prefixes

- There are three scenarios for receiving prefixes from other ASNs
 - Customer talking BGP
 - Peer talking BGP
 - **Upstream/Transit talking BGP**
- Each has different filtering requirements and need to be considered separately

Receiving Prefixes: From Customers

- ISPs should only accept prefixes which have been assigned or allocated to their downstream customer
- If ISP has assigned address space to its customer, then the customer IS entitled to announce it back to his ISP
- If the ISP has NOT assigned address space to its customer, then:

Check in the four RIR databases to see if this address space really has been assigned to the customer

The tool: whois –h whois.apnic.net x.x.x.0/24

Receiving Prefixes: From Customers

 Example use of whois to check if customer is entitled to announce address space:

pfs-pc\$ whois	-h whois.apnic.net 202.12.29.0			
inetnum:	202.12.29.0 - 202.12.29.255			
netname:	APNIC-AP-AU-BNE			
descr:	APNIC Pty Ltd - Br	isbane Offices + Servers		
descr:	Level 1, 33 Park Rd			
descr:	PO Box 2131, Milton			
descr:	Brisbane, QLD.			
country:	AU	Deuteble meneralite en erstimment		
admin-c:	HM20-AP Portable – means its an assignment to the customer, the customer can			
tech-c:	NO4-AP announce it to you			
mnt-by:	APNIC-HM			
changed:	hm-changed@apnic.net 20030108			
status:	ASSIGNED PORTABLE			
source:	APNIC			

Receiving Prefixes: From Customers

 Example use of whois to check if customer is entitled to announce address space:



Receiving Prefixes: From Peers

• A peer is an ISP with whom you agree to exchange prefixes you originate into the Internet routing table

Prefixes you accept from a peer are only those they have indicated they will announce

Prefixes you announce to your peer are only those you have indicated you will announce

Receiving Prefixes: From Peers

Agreeing what each will announce to the other:

Exchange of e-mail documentation as part of the peering agreement, and then ongoing updates

OR

Use of the Internet Routing Registry and configuration tools such as the IRRToolSet

www.isc.org/sw/IRRToolSet/

Receiving Prefixes: From Upstream/Transit Provider

- Upstream/Transit Provider is an ISP who you pay to give you transit to the WHOLE Internet
- Receiving prefixes from them is not desirable unless really necessary

special circumstances – see later

Ask upstream/transit provider to either:

originate a default-route

OR

announce one prefix you can use as default

Receiving Prefixes: From Upstream/Transit Provider

- If necessary to receive prefixes from any provider, care is required
 - don't accept RFC1918 etc prefixes

ftp://ftp.rfc-editor.org/in-notes/rfc3330.txt

don't accept your own prefixes

don't accept default (unless you need it)

don't accept prefixes longer than /24

Check Rob Thomas' list of "bogons"

http://www.cymru.com/Documents/bogon-list.html

Receiving Prefixes

 Paying attention to prefixes received from customers, peers and transit providers assists with:

The integrity of the local network

The integrity of the Internet

 Responsibility of all ISPs to be good Internet citizens



Preparing the network

Before we begin...

NANOG 37

Preparing the Network

- We will deploy BGP across the network before we try and multihome
- BGP will be used therefore an ASN is required
- If multihoming to different ISPs, public ASN needed:

Either go to upstream ISP who is a registry member, or

Apply to the RIR yourself for a one off assignment, or

Ask an ISP who is a registry member, or

Join the RIR and get your own IP address allocation too

(this option strongly recommended)!

Preparing the Network Initial Assumptions

- The network is not running any BGP at the moment single statically routed connection to upstream ISP
- The network is not running any IGP at all

Static default and routes through the network to do "routing"

Preparing the Network Example One

- The network is not running any BGP at the moment single statically routed connection to upstream ISP
- The network is not running any IGP at all Static default and routes through the network to do "routing"

Preparing the Network First Step: IGP

- Decide on IGP: OSPF or ISIS ③
- Assign loopback interfaces and /32 addresses to each router which will run the IGP

Loopback is used for OSPF and BGP router id anchor

Used for iBGP and route origination

Deploy IGP (e.g. OSPF)

IGP can be deployed with NO IMPACT on the existing static routing

e.g. OSPF distance might be 110, static distance is 1

Smallest distance wins

Preparing the Network IGP (cont)

- Be prudent deploying IGP keep the Link State Database Lean!
 - **Router loopbacks go in IGP**
 - WAN point to point links go in IGP
 - (In fact, any link where IGP dynamic routing will be run should go into IGP)
 - Summarise on area/level boundaries (if possible) i.e. think about your IGP address plan

Preparing the Network IGP (cont)

Routes which don't go into the IGP include:

Dynamic assignment pools (DSL/Cable/Dial)

Customer point to point link addressing

(using next-hop-self in iBGP ensures that these do NOT need to be in IGP)

Static/Hosting LANs

Customer assigned address space

Anything else not listed in the previous slide

Preparing the Network Second Step: iBGP

- Second step is to configure the local network to use iBGP
- iBGP can run on
 - all routers, or
 - a subset of routers, or
 - just on the upstream edge
- *iBGP must run on all routers which are in the transit path between external connections*



Preparing the Network Second Step: iBGP (Transit Path)

- iBGP must run on all routers which are in the transit path between external connections
- Routers C, E and F are not in the transit path
 - Static routes or IGP will suffice
- Router D is in the transit path
 - Will need to be in iBGP mesh, otherwise routing loops will result



Preparing the Network Layers

• Typical SP networks have three layers:

Core – the backbone, usually the transit path Distribution – the middle, PoP aggregation layer Aggregation – the edge, the devices connecting customers

Preparing the Network Aggregation Layer

iBGP is optional

Many ISPs run iBGP here, either partial routing (more common) or full routing (less common)

Full routing is not needed unless customers want full table

Partial routing is cheaper/easier, might usually consist of internal prefixes and, optionally, external prefixes to aid external load balancing

Communities and peer-groups make this administratively easy

Many aggregation devices can't run iBGP

Static routes from distribution devices for address pools

IGP for best exit

Preparing the Network Distribution Layer

Usually runs iBGP

Partial or full routing (as with aggregation layer)

But does not have to run iBGP

IGP is then used to carry customer prefixes (does not scale)

IGP is used to determine nearest exit

Networks which plan to grow large should deploy iBGP from day one

Migration at a later date is extra work

No extra overhead in deploying iBGP, indeed IGP benefits

Preparing the Network Core Layer

- Core of network is usually the transit path
- iBGP necessary between core devices

Full routes or partial routes:

Transit ISPs carry full routes in core

Edge ISPs carry partial routes only

• Core layer includes AS border routers

Decide on:

Best iBGP policy

Will it be full routes everywhere, or partial, or some mix?

iBGP scaling technique

Community policy?

Route-reflectors?

Techniques such as peer groups and peer templates?

• Then deploy iBGP:

Step 1: Introduce iBGP mesh on chosen routers

make sure that iBGP distance is greater than IGP distance (it usually is)

Step 2: Install "customer" prefixes into iBGP

Check! Does the network still work?

Step 3: Carefully remove the static routing for the prefixes now in IGP and iBGP

Check! Does the network still work?

Step 4: Deployment of eBGP follows

Install "customer" prefixes into iBGP?

- Customer assigned address space
 - Network statement/static route combination
 - Use unique community to identify customer assignments

Customer facing point-to-point links

Redistribute connected through filters which only permit point-topoint link addresses to enter iBGP

Use a unique community to identify point-to-point link addresses (these are only required for your monitoring system)

Dynamic assignment pools & local LANs

Simple network statement will do this

Use unique community to identify these networks

Carefully remove static routes?

- Work on one router at a time:
 - Check that static route for a particular destination is also learned by the iBGP
 - If so, remove it
 - If not, establish why and fix the problem
 - (Remember to look in the RIB, not the FIB!)
- Then the next router, until the whole PoP is done
- Then the next PoP, and so on until the network is now dependent on the IGP and iBGP you have deployed

Preparing the Network Completion

Previous steps are NOT flag day steps

Each can be carried out during different maintenance periods, for example:

Step One on Week One

Step Two on Week Two

Step Three on Week Three

And so on

And with proper planning will have NO customer visible impact at all
Preparing the Network Example Two

- The network is not running any BGP at the moment single statically routed connection to upstream ISP
- The network is running an IGP though All internal routing information is in the IGP By IGP, OSPF or ISIS is assumed

Preparing the Network IGP

 If not already done, assign loopback interfaces and /32 addresses to each router which is running the IGP

Loopback is used for OSPF and BGP router id anchor

Used for iBGP and route origination

Ensure that the loopback /32s are appearing in the IGP

Preparing the Network iBGP

- Go through the iBGP decision process as in Example One
- Decide full or partial, and the extent of the iBGP reach in the network

Preparing the Network iBGP Implementation

• Then deploy iBGP:

Step 1: Introduce iBGP mesh on chosen routers make sure that iBGP distance is greater than IGP distance (it usually is)
Step 2: Install "customer" prefixes into iBGP
Check! Does the network still work?
Step 3: Reduce BGP distance to be less than the IGP (so that iBGP routes take priority)
Step 4: Carefully remove the "customer" prefixes from the IGP
Check! Does the network still work?
Step 5: Restore BGP distance to less than IGP
Step 6: Deployment of eBGP follows

Preparing the Network iBGP Implementation

Install "customer" prefixes into iBGP?

- Customer assigned address space
 - Network statement/static route combination
 - Use unique community to identify customer assignments
- Customer facing point-to-point links
 - Redistribute connected through filters which only permit point-to-point link addresses to enter iBGP
 - Use a unique community to identify point-to-point link addresses (these are only required for your monitoring system)

Dynamic assignment pools & local LANs

- Simple network statement will do this
- Use unique community to identify these networks

Preparing the Network iBGP Implementation

Carefully remove "customer" routes from IGP?

- Work on one router at a time:
 - Check that IGP route for a particular destination is also learned by iBGP
 - If so, remove it from the IGP
 - If not, establish why and fix the problem
 - (Remember to look in the RIB, not the FIB!)
- Then the next router, until the whole PoP is done
- Then the next PoP, and so on until the network is now dependent on the iBGP you have deployed

Preparing the Network Completion

Previous steps are NOT flag day steps

Each can be carried out during different maintenance periods, for example:

Step One on Week One

Step Two on Week Two

Step Three on Week Three

And so on

And with proper planning will have NO customer visible impact at all

Preparing the Network Configuration Summary

- IGP essential networks are in IGP
- Customer networks are now in iBGP iBGP deployed over the backbone
 Full or Partial or Upstream Edge only
- BGP distance is greater than any IGP
- Now ready to deploy eBGP



Configuration Tips

Of templates, passwords, tricks, and more templates

iBGP and IGPs Reminder!

- Make sure loopback is configured on router iBGP between loopbacks, NOT real interfaces
- Make sure IGP carries loopback /32 address
- Consider the DMZ nets:

Use unnumbered interfaces? Use next-hop-self on iBGP neighbours Or carry the DMZ /30s in the iBGP Basically keep the DMZ nets out of the IGP!

Next-hop-self

Used by many ISPs on edge routers

Preferable to carrying DMZ /30 addresses in the IGP

Reduces size of IGP to just core infrastructure

Alternative to using unnumbered interfaces

Helps scale network

BGP speaker announces external network using local address (loopback) as next-hop

Templates

Good practice to configure templates for everything

Vendor defaults tend not to be optimal or even very useful for ISPs

ISPs create their own defaults by using configuration templates

eBGP and iBGP examples follow

Also see Project Cymru's BGP templates

www.cymru.com/Documents

iBGP Template Example

- iBGP between loopbacks!
- Next-hop-self

Keep DMZ and external point-to-point out of IGP

Always send communities in iBGP

Otherwise accidents will happen

Hardwire BGP to version 4

Yes, this is being paranoid!

Use passwords on iBGP session

Not being paranoid, VERY necessary

eBGP Template Example

BGP damping

Do NOT use it unless you understand the impact Do NOT use the vendor defaults without thinking

- Remove private ASes from announcements Common omission today
- Use extensive filters, with "backup"
 - Use as-path filters to backup prefix filters
 - Keep policy language for implementing policy, rather than basic filtering
- Use password agreed between you and peer on eBGP session

eBGP Template Example continued

Use maximum-prefix tracking

Router will warn you if there are sudden increases in BGP table size, bringing down eBGP if desired

Log changes of neighbour state

...and monitor those logs!

Make BGP admin distance higher than that of any IGP

Otherwise prefixes heard from outside your network could override your IGP!!

Limiting AS Path Length

 Some BGP implementations have problems with long AS_PATHS

Memory corruption

Memory fragmentation

 Even using AS_PATH prepends, it is not normal to see more than 20 ASes in a typical AS_PATH in the Internet today

The Internet is around 5 ASes deep on average

Largest AS_PATH is usually 16-20 ASNs

Limiting AS Path Length

Some announcements have ridiculous lengths of AS-paths:

*> 3FFE:1600::/24 3FFE:C00:8023:5::2 22 11537 145 12199 10318 10566 13193 1930 2200 3425 293 5609 5430 13285 6939 14277 1849 33 15589 25336 6830 8002 2042 7610 i

This example is an error in one IPv6 implementation

 If your implementation supports it, consider limiting the maximum AS-path length you will accept

BGP TTL "hack"

- Implement RFC3682 on BGP peerings
 - Neighbour sets TTL to 255
 - Local router expects TTL of incoming BGP packets to be 254
 - No one apart from directly attached devices can send BGP packets which arrive with TTL of 254, so any possible attack by a remote miscreant is dropped due to TTL mismatch



BGP TTL "hack"

• TTL Hack:

Both neighbours must agree to use the feature

TTL check is much easier to perform than MD5

(Called BTSH – BGP TTL Security Hack)

• Provides "security" for BGP sessions

In addition to packet filters of course

MD5 should still be used for messages which slip through the TTL hack

See www.nanog.org/mtg-0302/hack.html for more details

Passwords on BGP sessions

- Yes, I am mentioning passwords again
- Put password on the BGP session

It's a secret shared between you and your peer

If arriving packets don't have the correct MD5 hash, they are ignored

Helps defeat miscreants who wish to attack BGP sessions

 Powerful preventative tool, especially when combined with filters and the TTL "hack"

Using Communities

• Use communities to:

Scale iBGP management

Ease iBGP management

 Come up with a strategy for different classes of customers

Which prefixes stay inside network

Which prefixes are announced by eBGP

....etc....

Using Communities: Strategy

BGP customers

Offer max 3 types of feeds (easier than custom configuration per peer)

Use communities

Static customers

Use communities

Differentiate between different types of prefixes

Makes eBGP filtering easy

Using Communities: BGP Customer Aggregation Guidelines

Define at least three groups of peers:

cust-default—send default route only cust-cust—send customer routes only cust-full —send full Internet routes

- Identify routes via communities e.g. 100:4100=customers; 100:4500=peers
- Apply passwords per neighbour
- Apply inbound & outbound prefix filters per neighbour

BGP Customer Aggregation



Using Communities: Static Customer Aggregation Guidelines

- Identify routes via communities, e.g.
 - 100:4000 = my address blocks
 - 100:4100 = "specials" from my blocks
 - 100:4200 = customers from my blocks
 - 100:4300 = customers outside my blocks
 - Helps with aggregation, iBGP, filtering
- Set correct community as networks are installed in BGP on aggregation routers

Using Communities: Sample core configuration

eBGP peers and upstreams

Send communities 100:4000, 100:4100 and 100:4300, receive everything

iBGP full routes

Send everything (only to network core)

iBGP partial routes

Send communities 100:4000, 100:4100, 100:4200, 100:4300 and 100:4500 (to edge routers, peering routers, IXP routers)

Summary

- Use configuration templates
- Standardise the configuration
- Be aware of standard "tricks" to avoid compromise of the BGP session
- Anything to make your life easier, network less prone to errors, network more likely to scale
- It's all about scaling if your network won't scale, then it won't be successful



BGP Techniques for Internet Service Providers

Philip Smith

<pfs@cisco.com>

NANOG 37

4 - 6 June 2006

San Jose, CA

NANOG 37