Agenda

• Status update on list committee membership
• Process flow recap
• Old Business - AUP additions
• List Statistics
• Posting Modification Request Overview
• Questions
Present Committee:

- Sue Joiner - Merit
- Chris Malayter - Broadwing (Chair)
- Rob Seastrom - Inter.Net
- Steve Wilcox - Telecomplete
Process Flow

- User sends an e-mail to the list
- An MLC volunteer judges e-mail to be a violation of the AUP
- AUP per E-Mail List AUP
- The SC is authorized to make changes per the Nanog Charter (7.1.1)
- For one-off violations, an MLC volunteer sends an off-list note to the offending user, giving guidance about the list's AUP and explaining the MLC volunteer's concern.
- For repeated violations, the MLC discussion on the nanog-admin list may result in an an official warning being sent to the user, outlining the specific violations the user has been responsible for, and specifying that further violations might result in revocation of posting privileges.
- For repeated violations following one or more official warnings, MLC discussion on the nanog-admin list may result in a decision to remove posting privileges for the user for 3 to 6 months.
- For repeated violations following one or more official warnings and also following a temporary posting ban, MLC discussion on the nanog-admin list may result in a decision to permanently revoke posting privileges for the user.
Pending AUP addition

- Sent to nanog-futures on 10 Apr 2006, after being presented in Dallas. List feedback, while minimal, was overwhelmingly supportive.

8. Challenge/response sender whitelisting software which requires interaction by any party to validate a post to the NANOG mailing list as non-spam shall be treated by the list administration team like any other condition that generates a bounce message. Subscribers with software (such as but not limited to TMDA) that is (mis)configured in this fashion are subject to removal from the list without notice, and are welcome to resubscribe at such time as their software is fixed.

- Per the NANOG Charter, all changes to the AUP must be approved by the SC. Ball is now in the SC’s court.
Proposed AUP addition (not discussed yet on nanog-futures)

Replace current 3.5 (Emergency Actions) with the following:

3.5. If Merit determines that a subscriber is behaving in such a way that the operation of the NANOG list (or other associated Merit systems) are threatened, then Merit may initiate emergency procedures to defer the threat. Within 12 hours of Merit's determination to suspend privileges, Merit will seek approval from any two people from either the List Administration team or Steering Committee. Sustained revocation will be determined by the List Admin. team given the AUP and NANOG list management procedures.

3.6. If a List Administrator identifies an AUP breach which appears to warrant immediate action, at a time when convening other List Administrators to follow the normal consensus process is not possible, that List Administrator may institute a temporary, 72-hour ban for the corresponding subscriber so long as support for the action has been obtained and documented from two other people who are either List Administrators or Steering Committee members.

• We’ll discuss during the Q&A period, no discussion at this time please!
Proposed AUP addition (discussed briefly at NANOG 36)

Subscribers with software (including but not limited to MTAs and MUAs) that is (mis)configured in such a fashion as to send vacation notices to either the mailing list or to individual list posters are subject to removal from the list without notice, and are welcome to resubscribe at such time as their software is fixed.

• While there was substantial support for such action at the community meeting during NANOG 36, there was not a level of support that could be described as “consensus”.
• We’ll discuss during the Q&A period, no discussion at this time please!
List Stats

Current subscribers (as of 04-JUN-2006): 8012
Total messages Jan-Jun: 2899
List traffic statistics Jan-Jun:
  • Jan - 694 messages
  • Feb - 665 messages
  • Mar - 670 messages
  • Apr - 453 messages
  • May - 399 messages
  • Jun (to 04-JUN-2006 1200h UTC) - 18 messages
List Stats - including admin actions

Current subscribers (as of 04-JUN-2006):
nanog: 8012
Nanog-post: 7183
Nanog-digest: 1322
Nanog-announce: 653
Nanog-futures: 192
Total messages Jan-Jun: 2899

List traffic statistics Jan-Jun:
• Jan - 694 messages - 2 warnings, 1 ban
• Feb - 665 messages - 5 warnings, 0 bans
• Mar - 670 messages - 6 warnings, 0 bans
• Apr - 453 messages - 1 warning, 0 bans
• May - 399 messages - 0 warnings, 0 bans
• Jun (to 04-JUN-2006 1200h UTC) - 18 messages - 0 warnings, 0 bans
Summary List Stats Jan-Jun

- Total list messages - 2899
- Warnings - 14
- Threads requiring intervention - 0
- Members with posting privileges removed - 1
When do we request that people change their behavior on the list?

Warnings are only made are over violations of the AUP. Here’s the NANOG AUP as it currently exists (available online at http://www.nanog.org/aup.html)

1) Discussion will focus on Internet operational and technical issues as described in the charter of NANOG
2) Postings of issues inconsistent with the charter are prohibited.
3) Postings to multiple mailing lists are discouraged.
4) Postings that include foul language, character assassination, and lack of respect for other participants are prohibited.
5) Blatant product marketing is unacceptable.
6) Postings of political, philosophical, and legal nature are discouraged.
7) Postings to the list must be made using real, identifiable names and addresses, rather than aliases.
Posting Modification Statistics - Jan-Jun

1) Discussion will focus on Internet operational and technical issues as described in the charter of NANOG -> 1
2) Postings of issues inconsistent with the charter are prohibited. -> 1
3) Postings to multiple mailing lists are discouraged. -> 1
4) Postings that include foul language, character assassination, and lack of respect for other participants are prohibited. -> 3
5) Blatant product marketing is unacceptable. -> 2
6) Postings of political, philosophical, and legal nature are discouraged. -> 0
7) Postings to the list must be made using real, identifiable names and addresses, rather than aliases. -> 8

Total here is 16 not 14 (number of people warned) because some were warned about more than one thing
Typical warning email

From: Robert E. Seastrom <rs@seastrom.com>
To: Joe Foobar <joe.foobar@gmail.com>
Cc: nanog-admin@nanog.org

Howdy,

Please take a moment to read the NANOG Mailing List's AUP at http://www.nanog.org/aup.html with particular attention to item 7 which requires use of real names, not aliases, on the mailing list.

We'd appreciate it if you'd honor our AUP by posting with your real name in the future.

Best regards,

---Rob (on behalf of nanog-admin, the nanog@nanog.org list administration team)
Questions?