NANOG Open Meeting

NANOG / San Jose
2006.06.04
NANOG SC <steering@nanog.org>
Agenda

• Steering Committee (Randy)
• Program Committee (Steve)
• Financial Report (Betty)
• Mailing List Report (Rob)
• Open Discussion (Randy)

Aside from vetted presos, we’re speaking as individuals, and we differ!

The Microphone is Open Throughout
SC Goings On

- Get meeting schedule under better control
- Charter amendments
- ML Policy and Procedures
- Copyright and IPR issues
- Press, photography, …
Future Meetings

• Thank you Rodney for pulling chestnuts out of fire
• October in St. Louis with ARIN
• Joe organizing Toronto first week of February 2007
• Josh is looking at Miami for the first week of June 2007
Mailing List

• Still working with ML Panel to document their process
• Still working with MP Panel to develop an appeals process
• Statistics are published monthly on NANOG web site
• Please volunteer for ML Committee
ML Panel Appointments

• No terms etc. in current charter
• Straw proposal charter change parallels SC & PC
  - Two year terms
  - Staggered
  - Two sequential terms max without a vacation
• Please comment, change, propose,
ML Panel Process cont.

- This would give members a light at the end of the tunnel
- Volunteers would know what they’re signing up for
- Allows change without bad vibe of removal
- Normal organizational practice
Charter Changes

• Randy and Steve are working with Dan Golding and Steve Gibbard, the old charter group, to get the known charter revision proposal pieces in order for San Jose Meeting
Rights in Data

- NANOG trademark is held by MERIT
- Presos are copyright the author
- Right to freely distribute, but not modify, granted to NANOG
- PC is drafting this formally
  - Copyright notices on slides are OK if small and unobtrusive
- But what about rights to Streaming and Videos?
Press

• Press likely to be present in San Jose
• MERIT may prominently tag their badges
• MERIT will ask that no pictures be taken in the actual meetings themselves
• This ensures that members are free from having their picture published without their consent and without prejudice as to who is taking the pictures
Mailing Lists

• Engineering and Ops Discussion **only**
  `<nanog@nanog.org>`

• Discussion **about** NANOG **itself**
  `<nanog-futures@nanog.org>`

• Steering `<steering@nanog.org>`

• Program `<nanogpc@nanogpc.org>`

• ML `<nanog-admin@nanog.org>`
Agenda

• Steering Committee (Randy)
• Program Committee (Steve)
• Financial Report (Betty)
• Mailing List Report (Rob)
• Open Discussion (Randy)
Open Discussion

NANOG / San Jose

2006.06.04
What subjects might interest the members but are not at the meeting because the talks were rejected by the PC?
PC Minutes?

- With what content?
- Shows and no-shows
- Who is [in]active in recruiting talks
PC Issues

Should the reviews be signed as opposed to anonymous?
PC Issues

Should PC members be assigned to shepherd talks to help authors tune their talks earlier in the development process?
The Press

• How should we deal with attendees from the press?
• Attendance fee?
• Photography?
Two or Three Meetings/Year?

- Amortization of Fixed Costs at MERIT
- Cost to Attend
- Changes would be in ’08
8. Challenge/response sender whitelisting software which requires interaction by any party to validate a post to the NANOG mailing list as non-spam shall be treated by the list administration team like any other condition that generates a bounce message. Subscribers with software (such as but not limited to TMDA) that is (mis) configured in this fashion are subject to removal from the list without notice, and are welcome to resubscribe at such time as their software is fixed.
How can we make NANOG more useful, fun, informative?
NANOG’s Future

• Audience: Who do we serve?
• Level of Organization?
  - Minimalist
  - Learn from IETF/ISOC
  - SC, PC, ML: too much or too little?
• Content?
COMPLETE THE SURVEY!!!