Spamming with BGP Spectrum Agility

Anirudh Ramachandran Nick Feamster Georgia Tech

Collection

- Two domains instrumented with MailAvenger (both on same network)
 - Sinkhole domain #1
 - Continuous spam collection since Aug 2004
 - No real email addresses---sink everything
 - 10 million+ pieces of spam
 - Sinkhole domain #2
 - Recently registered domain (Nov 2005)
 - "Clean control" domain posted at a few places
 - Not much spam yet...perhaps we are being too conservative
- Monitoring BGP route advertisements from same network
- Also capturing traceroutes, DNSBL results, passive TCP host fingerprinting *simultaneous with spam arrival* (results in this talk focus on BGP+spam only)

Spamming Techniques

- Mostly botnets, of course
 - DNS hijack to get botnet topology and geography
- How we're doing this
 - Correlation with Bobax victims
 - from Georgia Tech botnet sinkhole
 - Heuristics
 - Distance in IP space of Client IP from MX record
 - Coordinated, low-bandwidth sending

A less popular, but sometimes more effective technique: Shortlived BGP routing announcements

BGP Spectrum Agility

- Log IP addresses of SMTP relays
- Join with BGP route advertisements seen at network where spam trap is co-located.



A small club of persistent players appears to be using this technique.

Common short-lived prefixes and ASes

61.0.0.0/8 4678 66.0.0.0/8 21562 82.0.0.0/8 8717

Somewhere between 1-10% of all spam (some clearly intentional, others might be flapping)

A Slightly Different Pattern



Why Such Big Prefixes?

- "Agility" (term due to Randy Bush)
- Flexibility: Client IPs can be scattered throughout dark space within a large /8
 - Same sender usually returns with different IP addresses
- Visibility: Route typically won't be filtered (nice and short)

Characteristics of IP-Agile Senders

- IP addresses are widely distributed across the /8 space
- IP addresses typically appear only once at our sinkhole
- Depending on which /8, 60-80% of these IP addresses were not reachable by traceroute when we spotchecked
- Some IP addresses were in *allocated*, albeing unannounced space
- Some AS paths associated with the routes contained reserved AS numbers

Some evidence that it's working

Spam from IP-agile senders tend to be listed in fewer blacklists



Thanks

- Randy Bush
- David Mazieres

More information:

Anirudh Ramachandran and Nick Feamster, Understanding the Network-Level Behavior of Spammers

Send mail to Nick Feamster (username: feamster, domain: cc.gatech.edu) for a copy of the draft.

Length of short-lived BGP epochs

CDF of epoch times for short-lived announcements from which we see spam 1 from 5/8/2004) 1 day 0.9 0.8 0.7 ~ 10% of spam coming days from short-lived BGP 0.6 announcements 10 0.5 (upper bound) (for 0.4 spam 0.3 total 0.2 f 0.1 26 Û 10 100 1000 10000 100000 1e+06 1e+07 1e+08 **Epoch length**