

Bringing ARIN Services to IPv6

Edward Lewis NANOG 31



ARIN Services

To the Internet, we're an "enterprise" No transit, simple topology, but multi-location ARIN has brought the following to v6 DNS - all zones we have WWW - non-secure, with a v4 proxy to "WebWho" FTP We're working on the other services



IPv4

ARIN has two locations office in Chantilly, VA and co-lo in Ashburn, VA network services are operated at both locations We also contract operations for some servers



Adding IPv6

IPv6 is in our office location Native connection, not tunneled Co-lo gets v6 soon, also native Router Linux 2.4.22, static packet forwarding Firewall OpenBSD 3.5, built in PF firewall



FTP and WWW

FTP

dual stack, ProFTPD 1.2.9 on Solaris 9 WWW apache 2.0.48, on Solaris 8 dual stack machine, http is on v6 only uses v4 to get answers to web-form whois queries, apache's mod_proxy plugin no big surprises, no horror stories



DNS

We have servers in three "places" At a contractor because of bandwidth/uptime At a higher-bandwidth co-lo site At the lower-bandwidth office site The challenge is to serve on v6 the data on the contracted machines and the co-lo machine without moving them "home" (where the v6 is).



Non-dual Stack DNS

Running non-dual stack servers for a zone on v4 and v6 can be done two ways Having the servers have an A "x"or AAAA record Using one server name on two machines
BIND seeks A and AAAA for all NS names Recommendation to use "one name, two machines"



One name, two machines

tinnie.arin.net "A" 69.25.34.195

v4 only (co-lo)

v4 and v6 (office)

tinnie "AAAA" slaves from tinnie "A" via v4, transparent to the Internet at large

tinnie.arin.net "AAAA" 2001:440:2000:1::22

nternet



One "gotcha"

The "other" v6 service we run, SSH # ssh tinnie.arin.net AAAA is preferred over A If you wanted to reach tinnie A, oops. Once did a "tail -f log" on the wrong host Why wasn't an event being logged? Good thing it wasn't an "rm" command Otherwise, acceptable but sub-optimal

NANOG 31, that one in SF

May 24 or 25, 2004



Another "gotcha"

If the "A" server is running other services that can't be brought to v6 Separate the services physically, or Separate the services via domain names We separated by purchasing a new server Newer hardware - good



Experience with BIND

Ran and still run BIND 9.2.3 on Solaris and Linux

Found a few bugs, all v6, not that obscure ISC fixed them all, quickly, fixes in the new releases ISC recommends switching to 9.3 for IPv6 If I find bugs, does that mean I'm a pioneer?



Summary

Adding IPv6 as a Network Protocol It's not as hard as you think. It can't be.

Recommendations

Use latest acceptable versions of software Use the same physical media for IPv4 and IPv6 Get in early, while the bandwidth is easy to handle and grow with it