Designing Support for Troubleshooting Complex Network Problems

Barbara Mirel University of Michigan

NANOG, February 2004

What's a complex problem?

Scenario: Videoconference disruptions

- \Box Reality check (measures \neq consequences)
- Insufficient data to easily "locate diagnose fix"
- Best-effort for insolvable "butterfly effect" problem: judge trade-offs under uncertainty
- Communication across segments/organizations

What's our focus for support?

Integrated experiences/integrated support

- □ Standalone problem solving environment and workspace
- □ Situations outside the reach of "self-healing" systems or diagnostics → augment human intelligence



NANOG, February 2004

Ist step, user modeling: Just the right level of analysis

Designers can readily identify:

Low level <Design focus on discrete data>

Run tests/gather data for segment-by-segment analysis

High level <Design focus on aggregates, info displays, best practices knowledge bases>

Integrate data to recursively "locate – diagnose – fix" Many views: functional, topology, symptom-syndrome Balance intentions and network constraints

What have we found, cont'd?

Harder to identify:

Mid-level <Design focus on integrated moves & strategies in unfamiliar territory – augment human intelligence>

"Reality checks" e.g. when measurements don't jive with effects or intuitions can't be trusted \rightarrow Communication

Decisions about trade-offs

Accessible data /Available data

Recognition/discovery of non-obvious patterns

What's next?

Continued learning from support engineers

Mid-level "pragmatic" challenges in problem solving Turn models \rightarrow open source framework/workspace

Debriefings, prerequisites, and politics



Data, Knowledge bases, Databases, Tools, Coordination and agreed-upon roles and responsibilities, Shared meanings and criteria

NANOG, February 2004

